

Topical proactive therapy in dermatology. A scoping review

Karolina Makowska, Joanna Nowaczyk, Zbigniew Samochocki, Leszek Blicharz, Lidia Rudnicka

Department of Dermatology, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

Adv Dermatol Allergol 2023; XL (4): 510–517
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5114/ada.2023.129454>

Abstract

The term ‘proactive therapy’ refers to a long-term management of clinically intact skin in previously disease-affected areas. This method was initially implemented in atopic dermatitis to maintain the remission and decrease the risk of exacerbations. Proactive therapy aims to limit the need for reactive treatment and improve the patients’ quality of life. A proactive approach is likely to be adopted for other relapsing and inflammatory skin conditions in the future. This scoping review aims to identify dermatological conditions to be treated with the proactive approach, evaluate the available evidence for its efficacy and safety, as well as highlight the research gaps.

Key words: anti-inflammatory, atopic dermatitis, low-dose, maintenance, proactive therapy, subclinical inflammation.

Introduction

The term ‘proactive therapy’ in dermatology is defined as a long-term, minimal-dose, intermittent application of anti-inflammatory topical agents on previously disease-affected skin [1]. This approach was first used in 2008 by Wollenberg *et al.* [2] as an alternative therapeutic strategy for atopic dermatitis (AD). Defining this emerging therapy by Wollenberg *et al.* [2] in a study with tacrolimus was preceded by a few clinical trials reporting successful maintenance of AD remission using topical fluticasone propionate, published subsequently by van der Meer *et al.* [3] in 1999, Hanifin *et al.* [4] in 2002, and Berth-Jones *et al.* [5] in 2003. Over the past two decades, the proactive approach has become a well-established therapy for AD and further adapted for the treatment of other relapsing dermatoses.

The proactive approach can be introduced after active skin lesions have clinically subsided during initial treatment (i.e. ‘reactive therapy’) [1, 2, 6] using the same

topical fixed-dose medication at reduced frequency. Its primary objective is to prolong disease remission by reducing the inflammatory infiltrate in the skin. Subclinical inflammation that contributes to relapses has been identified in biopsy specimens of seemingly intact skin of patients with psoriasis and eczema [7–9]. The principles and safety of long-term drug application must be addressed before starting proactive therapy to prevent lack of adherence, e.g. due to the widely observed corticophobia [10] (Table 1).

The aim of this scoping review is to analyse the well-established and emerging applications of proactive therapy in dermatology [11]. PubMed, Embase, and Web of Science were searched without date restrictions to find eligible human studies in English. Retrospective studies were excluded. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews and PRISMA 2020 guidelines were followed to write this review [12, 13]. Indications, treatment strategies, safety, tolerance, and outcomes of the proactive approach were

Table 1. Proactive therapy: advantages and disadvantages

Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good safety profile, including a low risk of side effects • Cost efficiency • Reduced number and decreased severity of exacerbations compared to reactive treatment alone • Improved quality of life compared to reactive treatment alone 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requires good compliance • No data regarding long-term (> 52 weeks; disease-dependent) efficacy and safety • No data regarding any delayed adverse events following proactive therapy discontinuation

Address for correspondence: Leszek Blicharz MD, PhD, Department of Dermatology, Medical University of Warsaw, 82A Koszykowa, 02-008 Warsaw, Poland, phone: +48 22 502 13 24, e-mail: leszek.blicharz@wum.edu.pl

Received: 6.02.2023, **accepted:** 28.02.2023.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>)

Table 2. A summary of all available studies of proactive therapy in psoriasis, seborrheic dermatitis, vulvar lichen sclerosis, and condylomata acuminata: detailed information from reported studies

Dermatologic condition	Authors [year]	Study type	Proactive maintenance scheme	Relapses during follow-up in % of experimental group patients who completed the trial (number of patients); assessment scale used	Number of patients enrolled in the proactive treatment group	Possible drug-related adverse reactions during proactive therapy (number of patients)
Psoriasis	Lebwohl <i>et al.</i> (2021)	DB, PC, RCT	0.005% calcipotriene and betamethasone dipropionate 0.064% foam twice weekly for 52 weeks	77% (101/131); PGA	272	Chorioretinopathy (1), mild application site pain (3)
Seborrheic dermatitis	Kim <i>et al.</i> (2013)	DB, PC, RCT	0.1% tacrolimus ointment twice weekly for 10 weeks	32% (9.4/29); IGA	32	Burning (6) and tingling (2) sensations
			0.1% tacrolimus ointment once weekly for 10 weeks	51% (11.4/22); IGA	28	Burning (4) and tingling (2) sensations
	Joly <i>et al.</i> (2021)	DB, RCT	0.1% tacrolimus ointment twice weekly for 24 weeks	21% (12/57*); 8-point assessment scale	57	Pruritus (23), burning sensation (29), erythema (3), folliculitis (4), herpes (2), conjunctivitis (1)
			1% ciclopirox olamine cream twice weekly for 24 weeks	40% (23/57*); 8-point assessment scale	57	Pruritus (18), burning sensation (17), folliculitis (1), herpes (1)
Vulvar lichen sclerosis	Li <i>et al.</i> (2013)	Open-label trial	0.03% tacrolimus ointment twice weekly for 24 weeks	22% (2/9)	9	Hyperpigmentation (1)
	Virgili <i>et al.</i> (2013)	RCT	0.1% mometasone furoate ointment twice weekly for 52 weeks	0% (0/7); GOS	8	None observed
	Corazza <i>et al.</i> (2015)	Open-label trial	0.1% mometasone furoate ointment twice weekly for 52 weeks	5% (1/22); GOS	24	None observed
			0.05% clobetasol propionate ointment twice weekly for 52 weeks	9% (2/22); GOS	24	None observed
Condylomata acuminata	Carpiniello <i>et al.</i> (1988)	RCT	(CO ₂ laser followed by) 5% 5-fluorouracil every other day for 1 month	71% (N/A), visual assessment	27	N/A
	Schöfer <i>et al.</i> (2006)	Trial with open-label and randomized arms	(Ablative treatment followed by) 5% imiquimod cream (frequency unknown)	9% (6/71); N/A	72	N/A
	On <i>et al.</i> (2014)	Single-blinded RCT	(Two cycles of cryotherapy followed by) 15% sinecatechins ointment twice daily for up to 16 weeks	No data; visual assessment	21	Erythema (N/A), oedema (N/A), scaling (N/A), crusting (N/A), erosions (N/A)
	Puviani <i>et al.</i> (2018)	Masked-assessment RCT	(CO ₂ laser followed by) 10% sinecatechins ointment twice daily for 12 weeks	5% (3/59), visual assessment	60	Erythema or burning sensation (34)

DB – double-blind, PC – placebo-controlled, RCT – randomized clinical trial, PGA – Physician's Global Assessment scale, IGA – Investigator's Global Assessment scale, N/A – data not available, GOS – Global Objective Scale. *The number of patients enrolled into the maintenance phase instead of these completing the trial.

analysed for management of dermatological diseases retrieved from search and summarized in Table 2.

Proactive therapy in dermatologic conditions

Atopic dermatitis

AD is a chronic inflammatory skin condition which manifests by pruritic eczematous lesions recurring in typical locations. Various processes lead to persistent subclinical inflammation of unaltered and clinically normal-appearing skin of patients with AD [14]. Reactive treatment of AD usually involves corticosteroids and/or calcineurin inhibitors [15]. Symptomatic AD and higher AD severity was shown to have a negative impact on various aspects of patients' quality of life [16, 17].

In 2011, Schmitt *et al.* [14] conducted a meta-analysis of eight randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that included four studies of topical 0.005% fluticasone propionate ointment [3, 5, 18] and/or 0.05% fluticasone propionate cream [4, 5], three trials of tacrolimus ointment (children: 0.03%; adults: 0.1% concentration) [2, 19–21] and one of 0.1% methylprednisolone aceponate cream. The duration of treatment with topical corticosteroids was 16–20 weeks (applied twice weekly) and 40–52 weeks in the tacrolimus scheme (applied two or three times a week). The results indicated that each proactive approach was significantly superior in preventing flares compared with placebo and that fluticasone propionate (pooled relative risk = 0.46; 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.38–0.55) may be more efficacious in maintaining remission than tacrolimus (pooled relative risk = 0.78; 95% CI: 0.60–1.00). The most frequent AEs during topical corticosteroid therapy included respiratory tract infections and ear, nose, and throat symptoms, while in the tacrolimus scheme the most common were burning sensations, pruritus, and skin infections [22].

In 2022, a Cochrane systematic review of topical corticosteroid use in children and adults with mild to severe AD was published [23]. Pooled analysis of 7 RCTs revealed that proactive ('weekend') therapy lasting 16–20 weeks decreased the likelihood of relapse from 58% to 25% (moderate-level evidence; relative risk, 0.43; 95% CI: 0.32–0.57). No cases of cutaneous atrophy or new cases of abnormal cortisol levels were reported (low-level evidence). There were no data on clinically relevant adrenal suppression or influence on growth. In the recent 4-week open-label RCT on a paediatric group, proactive therapy showed a lower relapse rate and prevented worsening of itching in comparison to rank-down treatment (betamethasone valerate followed by hydrocortisone butyrate once daily) [24].

Although no head-to-head clinical trials of topical corticosteroids and tacrolimus were published to date, some studies comparatively analysed their impact on the epidermal barrier. In quiescent patients (i.e. with no active AD lesions), topical corticosteroids were associated with

loss of skin barrier integrity, while tacrolimus was shown to preserve barrier function and improve the hydration of stratum corneum [25, 26]. In optical coherence tomography, a two-week course of corticosteroids caused transient subclinical epidermal thinning [27] while a 12-week proactive application of betamethasone valerate showed substantial thinning, which was insignificant regarding hydrocortisone acetate and methylprednisolone aceponate [28]. Epidermal thinning returned to baseline within 4 weeks and was not observed during the tacrolimus use [27, 28].

Recently, a comparative trial by Suehiro *et al.* [29] regarding AD maintenance with delgocitinib (a JAK inhibitor) versus tacrolimus ointment twice weekly for 4 weeks has been published. Superiority of tacrolimus in subjective and visible improvement was noted, however, the results did not reach statistical significance.

The proactive approach has been widely investigated for AD and it is recommended in European guidelines for maintenance of moderate-to-severe AD [15]. It is recommended to find the personal maintenance regimen, usually varying between once weekly to three times a week applications [2].

Psoriasis vulgaris

Psoriasis vulgaris is a chronic, autoimmune skin disease characterized by the presence of erythematous plaques arising primarily on the scalp and extensor surfaces of the glabrous skin [30]. Current topical treatment guidelines include a combination of corticosteroids with vitamin D₃ analogues and calcineurin inhibitors for sensitive areas such as the face and the anogenital region [9, 31]. Management of psoriasis frequently causes therapeutic concerns and puts a serious burden on the patients [32] including adolescents facing the difficult transition period between childhood and adulthood [33]. Long-term maintenance therapies have been studied in psoriasis since the 1970s but used daily application of active substances, often on still affected skin [34]. The first literature report of 'weekend' maintenance therapy was published by Katz *et al.* [35] in 1991 as a double-blind placebo-controlled RCT. This study assessed the efficacy of weekend application (3 doses every 12 h, once a week) of 0.05% betamethasone dipropionate ointment on clear or almost-clear skin during the 24-week period, following a 3 or 4-week reactive treatment. Katz *et al.* [35] showed that the maintenance was effective ($p < 0.001$) with a relapse rate of 35% and no AEs observed.

The first reported study in psoriasis clearly labelled as proactive therapy was published in 2020 by Lebwohl *et al.* [36] as a protocol-registered [37] double-blind placebo-controlled RCT. This study assessed the twice-weekly application of a combination of 0.005% calcipotriene and 0.064% betamethasone dipropionate foam on clear or almost-clear skin (assessed in Physician's Global Assessment score; PGA < 2) during a 52-week period, following

a 4-week reactive treatment. The risk of treatment-related AEs was similar in both groups. Effects on the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis, calcium metabolism and new AEs were not clinically significant [38]. Patients in the proactive group had 43% lower risk of relapse (hazard ratio, 0.57; 95% CI: 0.47–0.69; $p < 0.001$) and achieved 26 extra days without recurrence in comparison to the control group, corresponding to a 41-day longer remission phase over 1 year (assessed in PGA score). Improvement in health-related quality of life scores was noted [32]. The limitation of this trial is a substantial drop-out rate (54%) resulting from not achieving the clear or almost clear PGA score by the patients.

Proactive therapy may be successful in controlling disease in a considerable subset of patients with psoriasis, especially for lesions in challenging areas such as hands, elbows and feet [39]. Psoriasis rebounds, i.e. flares associated with abrupt withdrawal of reactive treatment that are more severe than the baseline manifestation [6] (defined as mPASI score ≥ 12 or $\geq 125\%$ of the baseline in the study by Lebwohl *et al.* [36]) were less common in the proactive group than in the placebo group. Typical steroid-related skin side effects were not observed [40], which could supposedly result from a minimal-dose and intermittent corticosteroid application or the possible modulatory effect of calcipotriene [41]. In matching-adjusted indirect comparison analysis, the calcipotriene-betamethasone dipropionate foam approach showed a greater efficacy and more favourable safety profile than halobetasol propionate-tazarotene lotion therapy [42]. Calcipotriene-betamethasone dipropionate foam seems to be a good candidate for the proactive therapy in psoriasis as it was previously observed to be more effective than the gel-based alternative [43–45]. The foam formulation is also superior to gel regarding relieving pruritus [44, 45] and achieving immediate relief [45, 46]. Moreover, the foam fits in line with proactive foundations and patients' preferences to use the topicals which are fast to apply, non-greasy, and quickly absorbing [43]. A two-compound topical is also a cost-effective treatment in comparison to the simultaneous use of two separate medications [34].

Seborrheic dermatitis

Seborrheic dermatitis is a chronic relapsing skin disease affecting sebaceous areas and manifesting with erythema, flaky scales, and pruritus. The standard first-line treatment involves emollients and antifungals as well as anti-inflammatory agents (e.g. corticosteroids and calcineurin inhibitors) [47].

Proactive therapy in seborrheic dermatitis was firstly studied in a double-blinded, placebo-controlled RCT published in 2013 by Kim *et al.* [48]. Patients were randomized to one of three treatment groups: 0.1% tacrolimus ointment applied twice-weekly, 0.1% tacrolimus ointment applied once-weekly, and placebo ointment applied

twice-weekly for 10 weeks. Significant improvement of clinical symptoms (assessed in a four-point scale of erythema, pruritus, and scaling) was noticed in both tacrolimus groups, but not in the placebo group. The recurrence rate was the highest in the placebo group (80%), then in the once-a-week tacrolimus group (52%), and the lowest in the twice-weekly tacrolimus group (32%; $p < 0.05$ between each group; assessed in Investigator's Global Assessment scale, IGA). The AEs of proactive maintenance appeared in 21% of patients (mainly burning or tingling sensations), but they were transient, local, and in most cases did not prompt cessation of treatment. However, the statistical methods used in this study seem to be inadequate and the recurrence rates raise concerns.

In 2021, Joly *et al.* [49] performed a double-blind RCT using 0.1% tacrolimus ointment or 1% ciclopirox olamine cream applied twice-weekly for a 24-week maintenance, following a 1-week reactive therapy with 0.05% desonide. Patients were randomized to these two groups after achieving a complete or almost complete clearance (≤ 1 score in an 8-point assessment scale). Tacrolimus was found to be more effective than ciclopirox olamine (21% vs. 40% relapse rates; relapse defined as ≥ 3 score in an 8-point assessment scale), corresponding to a mean 64.5-day longer remission phase and a lower risk of relapse (hazard ratio = 0.47; 95% CI: 0.26–0.83; $p = 0.010$; adjusted for patients completing the study). Over a half of the patients in both groups experienced similar AEs such as pruritus and a burning sensation. Lack of a placebo control group is a limitation of this trial.

Proactive therapy based on tacrolimus showed promising outcomes to be regularly implemented in the clinical setting, indicating the superiority over the standard approach for facial seborrheic dermatitis. Large-scale and long-term follow-up clinical trials are necessary to establish the exact efficacy of the therapy [48].

Anogenital lichen sclerosis

Anogenital lichen sclerosis is a chronic, inflammatory skin disorder characterized by the presence of itchy, atrophic patches or plaques. The mainstay of treatment is a topical application of potent or ultra-potent corticosteroids [50]. Proactive maintenance is crucial for anogenital lichen sclerosis as besides its recurring and distressing nature, untreated or irregularly treated lesions may progress to squamous cell carcinoma as a result of chronic inflammation or HPV infection [51–54].

In the first randomized trial published by Virgili *et al.* [55] in 2013, patients who had responded to 12-week reactive treatment were enrolled in a 52-week maintenance therapy of vulvar lichen sclerosis (< 3 in every category or a total ≤ 4 points assessed in the Global Objective Scale, GOS). Patients were randomized to one of three treatment groups: with topical mometasone furoate 0.1% ointment administered twice weekly, cold cream applied once daily, and topical vitamin E oil used once daily. The

relapse rates were higher in the cold cream group (62%, $p = 0.043$) and in the vitamin E group (56%, however results were not statistically significant, $p = 0.065$) compared to the proactive group with a topical corticosteroid, in which no case of relapse was observed (defined as GOS ≥ 5 or 3 points for any four signs; odds ratio = 0.0951; 95% CI: 0.0177–0.5106). No AEs were reported in any of the groups. A limitation of this study is a small sample size.

In 2015, Corazza *et al.* [56] performed an open-label comparative trial to assess the effectiveness and safety profiles of two topical corticosteroids in the proactive therapy of vulvar lichen sclerosus – 0.1% mometasone furoate ointment and 0.05% clobetasol propionate ointment. Patients who achieved remission in the 12-week reactive phase of treatment were enrolled in a 52-week maintenance therapy with continuation of the previously used topical corticosteroid twice weekly. The authors found that the disease had recurred in overall 6% of all patients, with 8% of patients in the clobetasol propionate group and 4% in the mometasone furoate group ($p > 0.999$, defined as ≥ 5 or 3 points for any four signs assessed in GOS). Mean time to relapse was 30 weeks with no significant differences between these two groups ($p = 0.794$). No AEs were observed. This study is limited by lack of patient randomization.

In an open-label trial from 2013, Li *et al.* [57] studied the proactive use of 0.03% tacrolimus ointment twice a week for a 6-month treatment of anogenital lichen sclerosus in prepubertal girls, after a 16-week reactive therapy. The severity of adverse skin reactions were assessed visually by a physician in a 4-point scale. Subjective symptoms were graded by the patients also in a 4-point scale. Relapses occurred in 22% of patients in the proactive group vs 80% of patients in the group lacking maintenance ($p = 0.036$). There was no significant difference in the efficacy of treatment between the two groups ($p = 0.134$). Only 1 case of AE (hyperpigmentation) was observed. The authors suggested that 0.03% tacrolimus ointment could be preferable to 0.1% tacrolimus ointment for the proactive therapy to reduce the possibility of AEs associated with percutaneous absorption of the drug in peri-mucosal areas. A limitation of this study is a small sample size.

The results of the trials indicate that potent corticosteroids are considered more effective than tacrolimus in proactive treatment of anogenital lichen sclerosus [58]. An individualized proactive treatment scheme is recommended in the current European guidelines [52, 58].

Condylomata acuminata

Condylomata acuminata are epidermal papules caused by HPV and one of the most common sexually transmitted infections [59]. Treatment modalities leading to complete removal of the lesions include ablative techniques (e.g. cryotherapy, carbon dioxide or erbium

lasers, electrocautery, photodynamic therapy) or surgical excision [60]. Due to the limitations of the single-method approach, a concept of sequential treatment was proposed. It implements immunomodulatory therapy (imiquimod, sinecatechins, podophyllotoxin, 5-fluorouracil) administered soon after the wound from ablative treatment is healed [61–63]. Available reports on maintenance therapy involve immunomodulatory drug application at least once daily, and therefore fail to strictly fit into the principles of the proactive approach. However, the available data on sequential therapy were summarized in this scoping review as they could prove a successful way of preventing recurrence of condylomata acuminata.

The most recent network meta-analysis of double-blinded RCTs was conducted in 2020 by Bertolotti *et al.* [63] and showed the efficacy of sequential therapies (ablation with imiquimod [64], CO₂ laser with 5-fluorouracil [65], CO₂ laser with sinecatechins [66]). Compared to placebo, surgery alone (pooled relative risk = 10.54; 95% CI: 4.53–24.52) was superior to ablative therapy with imiquimod (pooled relative risk = 7.52; 95% CI: 4.53–24.52), which was the most efficacious among sequential therapies. However, Schöfer *et al.* [64] defined ablative treatment as electrocautery, cryotherapy, laser therapy, or surgery, and only 63% of patients in the group of combined treatment with imiquimod received electrocautery [67]. In another study, Carpiniello *et al.* [65] suggested that penile condylomas were possibly recalcitrant to the therapy with CO₂ laser and 5-fluorouracil due to urethral reservoir of the HPV [65]. On *et al.* [66] noted that for cryotherapy with sinecatechins application, a complete clearance was reached by participants with fewer lesions at baseline as compared to the average number of lesions in each group. Additionally, On *et al.* [66] suggested that more severe cases benefited more from the combined approach. The AEs of topical imiquimod are hypopigmentation, local inflammation, and systemic fever-like symptoms, while sinecatechins are not associated with these side effects [64, 68]. The conclusions of this meta-analysis are limited by a high risk of bias of the included RCTs.

One trial was excluded from the meta-analysis due to lack of double blinding. In this study by Puviani *et al.* [61] from 2018, 10% topical sinecatechins were administered twice a day for 3 months, 2 weeks after CO₂ laser treatment. The recurrence rate in the treatment group was 5% vs. 29% in the control group (odds ratio = 0.16; 95% CI: 0.04–0.68; $p = 0.0024$). In the topical sinecatechins group, 55% of patients reported mild to moderate AEs like erythema and burning sensations. The limitation of this study is a masked-assessment maintenance phase, and a relatively small sample size.

Despite high efficacy of continuous sequential therapy, most trials identified a considerable rate of AEs. Consequently, these substances are not guideline-recommended as the first-line treatment of condylomata

acuminata owing to a lower benefit-risk ratio [62, 69]. Evidence regarding 5-fluorouracil is weak, while podophyllin is not suitable for patient self-application as it may contain potentially carcinogenic ingredients and cause systemic toxicity. The meta-analysis [63] highlights the need for further investigations in order to comparatively confirm the effectiveness and side effects of the sequential therapies [67].

Conclusions

Proactive therapy is a developing concept that is likely to be adopted for various relapsing inflammatory and infectious dermatoses in the future. Available evidence indicates that the proactive therapy may significantly extend the time to relapse, whilst showing a favourable safety profile and predominantly local adverse effects. Cutaneous atrophy or hypothalamus pituitary-adrenal axis suppression were not observed during proactive corticosteroid use. Proactive therapy may improve patients' quality of life by achieving long disease-free periods and limiting the need for reactive treatment.

Conflict of interest

KM and JN declare no conflict of interest. LB: invited speaker – AbbVie, Sanofi. LR: member of advisory boards – Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies, L'Oréal, Leo, Lilly, Pfizer, Sanofi, Novartis, UCB, Timber Pharma; invited speaker – Leo, AbbVie, L'Oréal, Lilly, Pierre Fabre.

Registration: OSF Registration number (DOI): 10.17605/OSF.IO/WX5J7.

References

- Wollenberg A, Frank R, Kroth J, Ruzicka T. Proactive therapy of atopic eczema--an evidence-based concept with a behavioral background. *J Dtsch Dermatol Ges* 2009; 7: 117-21.
- Wollenberg A, Reitamo S, Girolomoni G, et al. Proactive treatment of atopic dermatitis in adults with 0.1% tacrolimus ointment. *Allergy* 2008; 63: 742-50.
- Van Der Meer JB, Glazenburg EJ, Mulder PG, et al. The management of moderate to severe atopic dermatitis in adults with topical fluticasone propionate. The Netherlands Adult Atopic Dermatitis Study Group. *Br J Dermatol* 1999; 140: 1114-21.
- Hanifin J, Gupta AK, Rajagopalan R. Intermittent dosing of fluticasone propionate cream for reducing the risk of relapse in atopic dermatitis patients. *Br J Dermatol* 2002; 147: 528-37.
- Berth-Jones J, Damstra RJ, Golsch S, et al. Twice weekly fluticasone propionate added to emollient maintenance treatment to reduce risk of relapse in atopic dermatitis: randomised, double blind, parallel group study. *BMJ* 2003; 326: 1367.
- Papp KA, Dhadwal G, Gooderham M, et al. Emerging paradigm shift toward proactive topical treatment of psoriasis: a narrative review. *Dermatol Ther* 2021; 34: e15104.
- Wollenberg A, Bieber T. Proactive therapy of atopic dermatitis – an emerging concept. *Allergy* 2009; 64: 276-78.
- Benezeder T, Wolf P. Resolution of plaque-type psoriasis: what is left behind (and reinitiates the disease). *Semin Immunopathol* 2019; 41: 633-44.
- Lebwohl M, Thaçi D, Warren RB. Addressing challenges associated with long-term topical treatment and benefits of proactive management in patients with psoriasis. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2021; 35 Suppl 1: 35-41.
- Mueller SM, Itin P, Vogt DR, et al. Assessment of “cortico-phobia” as an indicator of non-adherence to topical corticosteroids: a pilot study. *J Dermatol Treat* 2017; 28: 104-11.
- Munn Z, Peters MDJ, Stern C, et al. Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2018; 18: 143.
- Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and explanation. *Ann Intern Med* 2018; 169: 467-73.
- Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2021; 372: n71.
- Schmitt J, von Kobyletzki L, Svensson A, Apfelbacher C. Efficacy and tolerability of proactive treatment with topical corticosteroids and calcineurin inhibitors for atopic eczema: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Br J Dermatol* 2011; 164: 415-28.
- Wollenberg A, Christen-Zäch S, Taieb A, et al. ETFAD/EADV Eczema task force 2020 position paper on diagnosis and treatment of atopic dermatitis in adults and children. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2020; 34: 2717-44.
- Barbarot S, Silverberg JJ, Gadkari A, et al. The family impact of atopic dermatitis in the pediatric population: results from an international cross-sectional study. *J Pediatr* 2022; 246: 220-6.e5.
- Egeberg A, Anderson P, Piercy J, et al. Symptom burden of patients with moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis. *Eur J Dermatol* 2021; 31: 752-8.
- Glazenburg EJ, Wolkerstorfer A, Gerretsen AL, et al. Efficacy and safety of fluticasone propionate 0.005% ointment in the long-term maintenance treatment of children with atopic dermatitis: differences between boys and girls? *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 2009; 20: 59-66.
- Paller AS, Eichenfield LF, Kirsner RS, et al. Three times weekly tacrolimus ointment reduces relapse in stabilized atopic dermatitis: a new paradigm for use. *Pediatrics* 2008; 122: e1210-8.
- Breneman D, Fleischer AB, Abramovits W, et al. Intermittent therapy for flare prevention and long-term disease control in stabilized atopic dermatitis: a randomized comparison of 3-times-weekly applications of tacrolimus ointment versus vehicle. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2008; 58: 990-9.
- Thaçi D, Reitamo S, Gonzalez Ensenat MA, et al. Proactive disease management with 0.03% tacrolimus ointment for children with atopic dermatitis: results of a randomized, multicentre, comparative study. *Br J Dermatol* 2008; 159: 1348-56.
- Peserico A, Städtler G, Sebastian M, et al. Reduction of relapses of atopic dermatitis with methylprednisolone aceponate cream twice weekly in addition to maintenance treatment with emollient: a multicentre, randomized, double-blind, controlled study. *Br J Dermatol* 2008; 158: 801-7.

23. Lax SJ, Harvey J, Axon E, et al. Strategies for using topical corticosteroids in children and adults with eczema. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2022; 2022: CD013356.
24. Kamiya K, Saeki H, Tokura Y, et al. Proactive versus rank-down topical corticosteroid therapy for maintenance of remission in pediatric atopic dermatitis: a randomized, open-label, active-controlled, parallel-group study (anticipate study). *J Clin Med* 2022; 11: 6477.
25. Danby SG, Chittock J, Brown K, et al. The effect of tacrolimus compared with betamethasone valerate on the skin barrier in volunteers with quiescent atopic dermatitis. *Br J Dermatol* 2014; 170: 914-21.
26. Chittock J, Brown K, Cork MJ, Danby SG. Comparing the effect of a twice-weekly tacrolimus and betamethasone valerate dose on the subclinical epidermal barrier defect in atopic dermatitis. *Acta Derm Venereol* 2015; 95: 653-8.
27. Aschoff R, Schmitt J, Knuschke P, et al. Evaluation of the atrophogenic potential of hydrocortisone 1% cream and pimecrolimus 1% cream in uninvolved forehead skin of patients with atopic dermatitis using optical coherence tomography. *Exp Dermatol* 2011; 20: 832-6.
28. Aschoff R, Lang A, Koch E. Effects of intermittent treatment with topical corticosteroids and calcineurin inhibitors on epidermal and dermal thickness using optical coherence tomography and ultrasound. *Skin Pharmacol Physiol* 2022; 35: 41-50.
29. Suehiro M, Numata T, Murakami E, et al. Real-world efficacy of proactive maintenance treatment with delgocitinib ointment twice weekly in adult patients with atopic dermatitis. *Dermatol Ther* 2022; 35: e15526.
30. Boehncke WH, Schön MP. Psoriasis. *Lancet* 2015; 386: 983-94.
31. Segaert S, Calzavara-Pinton P, de la Cueva P, et al. Long-term topical management of psoriasis: the road ahead. *J Dermatol Treat* 2022; 33: 111-20.
32. Jalili A, Calzavara-Pinton P, Kircik L, et al. Quality of life and patient-perceived symptoms in patients with psoriasis undergoing proactive or reactive management with the fixed-dose combination Cal/BD foam: a post-hoc analysis of PSO-LONG. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2022; 36: 60-7.
33. Mahé E. Optimal management of plaque psoriasis in adolescents: current perspectives. *Psoriasis Auckl NZ* 2020; 10: 45-56.
34. Augustin M, Mrowietz U, Bonnekoh B, et al. Topical long-term therapy of psoriasis with vitamin D analogues, corticosteroids and their two compound formulations: position paper on evidence and use in daily practice. *J Dtsch Dermatol Ges* 2014; 12: 667-82.
35. Katz HI, Prawer SE, Medansky RS, et al. Intermittent corticosteroid maintenance treatment of psoriasis: a double-blind multicenter trial of augmented betamethasone dipropionate ointment in a pulse dose treatment regimen. *Dermatologica* 1991; 183: 269-74.
36. Lebwohl M, Kircik L, Lacour JP, et al. Twice-weekly topical calcipotriene/betamethasone dipropionate foam as proactive management of plaque psoriasis increases time in remission and is well tolerated over 52 weeks (PSO-LONG trial). *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2021; 84: 1269-77.
37. Stein-Gold L, Alonso-Llamazares J, Lacour J, et al. PSO-LONG: Design of a novel, 12-month clinical trial of topical, proactive maintenance with twice-weekly Cal/BD foam in psoriasis. *Adv Ther* 2020; 37: 4730-53.
38. Papp K, Adamski Z, Guenther L, et al. Proactive treatment of plaque psoriasis with twice-a-week application of Cal/BD spray foam is safe in patients who underwent a functional test of the HPA axis. *J Dtsch Dermatol Ges* 2021; 19: 69-70.
39. De Simone C, Dapavo P, Malagoli P, et al. Long-term proactive management of psoriasis with calcipotriol and betamethasone dipropionate foam: an Italian consensus through a combined nominal group technique and Delphi approach. *Int J Dermatol* 2022; 61: 1543-51.
40. Cacciapuoti S, Ruggiero A, Gallo L, Fabbrocini G. Proactive vs. reactive psoriasis therapy: a long-term evaluation with dermoscopic and confocal microscopy assessment. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2022; 26: 2018-24.
41. Maul JT, Anzengruber F, Conrad C, et al. Topical treatment of psoriasis vulgaris: the Swiss treatment pathway. *Dermatology* 2021; 237: 166-78.
42. Adam DN, Jablonski Bernasconi MY, Thoning H, Wu JJ. Matching-adjusted indirect comparison of long-term efficacy and safety outcomes for calcipotriol plus betamethasone dipropionate foam versus halobetasol propionate plus tazarotene lotion in the treatment of plaque psoriasis. *Dermatol Ther* 2022; 12: 2589-600.
43. Paul C, Stein Gold L, Cambazard F, et al. Calcipotriol plus betamethasone dipropionate aerosol foam provides superior efficacy vs. gel in patients with psoriasis vulgaris: randomized, controlled PSO-ABLE study. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2017; 31: 119-26.
44. Griffiths CE, Stein Gold L, Cambazard F, et al. Greater improvement in quality of life outcomes in patients using fixed-combination calcipotriol plus betamethasone dipropionate aerosol foam versus gel: results from the PSO-ABLE study. *Eur J Dermatol* 2018; 28: 356-63.
45. Rudnicka L, Olszewska M, Goldust M, et al. Efficacy and safety of different formulations of calcipotriol/betamethasone dipropionate in psoriasis: gel, foam, and ointment. *J Clin Med* 2021; 10: 5589.
46. Hong CH, Papp KA, Lophaven KW, et al. Patients with psoriasis have different preferences for topical therapy, highlighting the importance of individualized treatment approaches: randomized phase IIIb PSO-INSIGHTFUL study. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2017; 31: 1876-83.
47. Gupta AK, Versteeg SG. Topical treatment of facial seborrheic dermatitis: a systematic review. *Am J Clin Dermatol* 2017; 18: 193-213.
48. Kim TW, Mun JH, Jwa SW, et al. Proactive treatment of adult facial seborrheic dermatitis with 0.1% tacrolimus ointment: randomized, double-blind, vehicle-controlled, multi-centre trial. *Acta Derm Venereol* 2013; 93: 557-61.
49. Joly P, Tejedor I, Tetart F, et al. Tacrolimus 0.1% versus ciclopiroxolamine 1% for maintenance therapy in patients with severe facial seborrheic dermatitis: a multicenter, double-blind, randomized controlled study. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2021; 84: 1278-84.
50. Kirtschig G. Lichen sclerosus – presentation, diagnosis and management. *Dtsch Arztebl Int* 2016; 113: 337-43.
51. Chin S, Scurry J, Bradford J, et al. Association of topical corticosteroids with reduced vulvar squamous cell carcinoma recurrence in patients with vulvar lichen sclerosus. *JAMA Dermatol* 2020; 156: 813-4.
52. Lewis FM, Tatnall FM, Velangi SS, et al. British Association of Dermatologists guidelines for the management of lichen sclerosus, 2018. *Br J Dermatol* 2018; 178: 839-53.
53. Wijaya M, Lee G, Fischer G, Lee A. Quality of life in vulvar lichen sclerosus patients treated with long-term topical corticosteroids. *J Low Genit Tract Dis* 2021; 25: 158-65.

54. Kravvas G, Shim TN, Doiron PR, et al. The diagnosis and management of male genital lichen sclerosus: a retrospective review of 301 patients. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2018; 32: 91-5.
55. Virgili A, Minghetti S, Borghi A, Corazza M. Proactive maintenance therapy with a topical corticosteroid for vulvar lichen sclerosus: preliminary results of a randomized study. *Br J Dermatol* 2013; 168: 1316-24.
56. Corazza M, Borghi A, Minghetti S, et al. Clobetasol propionate vs. mometasone furoate in 1-year proactive maintenance therapy of vulvar lichen sclerosus: results from a comparative trial. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2016; 30: 956-61.
57. Li Y, Xiao Y, Wang H, et al. Low-concentration topical tacrolimus for the treatment of anogenital lichen sclerosus in childhood: maintenance treatment to reduce recurrence. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol* 2013; 26: 239-42.
58. Kirtschig G, Becker K, Günthert A, et al. Evidence-based (S3) Guideline on (anogenital) lichen sclerosus. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2015; 29: e1-43.
59. Patel H, Wagner M, Singhal P, Kothari S. Systematic review of the incidence and prevalence of genital warts. *BMC Infect Dis* 2013; 13: 39.
60. O'Mahony C, Gomberg M, Skerlev M, et al. Position statement for the diagnosis and management of anogenital warts. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2019; 33: 1006-19.
61. Puviani M, Milani M. Efficacy of sinecatechins 10% as proactive sequential therapy of external and perianal genital warts after laser therapy: an exploratory trial. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2018; 79: AB124.
62. Schöfer H, Tatti S, Lynde CW, et al. Sinecatechins and imiquimod as proactive sequential therapy of external genital and perianal warts in adults. *Int J STD AIDS* 2017; 28: 1433-43.
63. Bertolotti A, Ferdynus C, Milpied B, et al. Local management of anogenital warts in non-immunocompromised adults: a network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Dermatol Ther* 2020; 10: 249-62.
64. Schöfer H, Van Ophoven A, Henke U, et al. Randomized, comparative trial on the sustained efficacy of topical imiquimod 5% cream versus conventional ablative methods in external anogenital warts. *Eur J Dermatol* 2006; 16: 642-8.
65. Carpinello VL, Malloy TR, Sedlacek TV, Zderic SA. Results of carbon dioxide laser therapy and topical 5-fluorouracil treatment for subclinical condyloma found by magnified penile surface scanning. *J Urol* 1988; 140: 53-4.
66. On SCJ, Linkner RV, Haddican M, et al. A single-blinded randomized controlled study to assess the efficacy of twice daily application of sinecatechins 15% ointment when used sequentially with cryotherapy in the treatment of external genital warts. *J Drugs Dermatol* 2014; 13: 1400-5.
67. Feng C, Li W, Wang X, et al. A systematic review evaluating the efficacy and safety of a combination of ablative treatment and self administered treatment versus ablative treatment alone for external anogenital warts. *Int J Dermatol* 2020; 59: 1210-6.
68. Juhl ME, Seferovic V, Antonijevic S, Kronic A. Combined treatment of anogenital HPV infection with cryodestruction, podophyllin 25% and post-ablation immunomodulation with sinecatechins 15% ointment – a retrospective analysis. *Int J STD AIDS* 2016; 27: 1071-8.
69. Gilson R, Nugent D, Werner RN, et al. 2019 IUSTI-Europe guideline for the management of anogenital warts. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2020; 34: 1644-53.