Molecular identification of blast resistance genes in rice genotypes using gene-specific markers
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Abstract

Molecular identification of major blast resistance (R) genes in rice was performed in a group of 10 rice (Oryza sativa) genotypes from Egypt and Saudi Arabia using six DNA markers (T8042, NSB, YL153/YL154, RM3843, RM3330, and z4794) belonging to three classes: single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), simple sequence repeats (SSRs), and insertion-deletions (InDels). The markers were chosen based on their linkage to six major R genes (Pit, Pib, Pi39(t), Pi40(t), Piz/Piz-t, and Pita/Pita2). The studied markers showed low allelic diversity, with the number of alleles identified in a single genotype ranging between two and nine alleles. The Egyptian genotype Eg-N-7 had two alleles of the Pi40(t) gene, while the Saudi Arabian genotype Al-Ahsa1 had nine alleles that belonged to the seven R genes. The studied genotypes were also assessed for 19 agro-morphological traits. Analysis of variance of the studied traits showed significant differences among the genotypes. The putative associations between molecular markers and the agro-morphological traits were examined using association-mapping approach by employing the unified mixed model. Eight significant marker–trait associations were detected for eight agro-morphological traits (plant height, panicle length, sterility percentage, grain width, grain shape, elongation percentage, gelatinization temperature, and days to maturity). The phenotypic variance shown by each marker ranged between 43% and 65%. The findings of the current study will assist in identifying possible blast resistance genotypes for future rice breeding programs. Additionally, the results highlight the possible dual usage of specific markers in genotypic screening as well as in determining marker–trait associations.
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Introduction

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is one of the most planted crops in the world, providing staple food for a large human population worldwide (Khush, 2005). Annual rice consumption has been increasing due to a steady growth in human population (Muthayya, 2014). Many factors are known to produce a significant impact on rice production in Asia and Africa (Aksoy and Beghin, 2005). The major factors affecting rice production are the shortage of water, insects, and diseases, in addition to other biotic and abiotic stress factors. One of the most destructive diseases that affects the rice production worldwide is blast disease caused by the fungus Magnaporthe oryzae (Li et al., 2007). The yield loss is estimated to be between 10% and 30% (Skamnioni et al., 2009). Many approaches have been developed over a century to combat the disease, which primarily dealt with, but were not limited to, chemical control, water management, time of planting, biological control, and breeding (Srivastava et al., 2017). One of the most effective strategies to fight blast disease is to develop resistant varieties. Plants defend themselves against pathogens at different levels: structure barriers (e.g., cell walls), pathogen pattern recognition, and defense against specific pathogen race...
The latter mechanism is usually adopted by the rice plant to provide protection against *M. oryzae* (Yang et al., 2013). In this process, resistant (*R*) genes are activated by plant pathogen effectors, thus triggering an antimicrobial response which eventually leads to the genotype being resistant or susceptible to the infection (Liao et al., 2016). *R* genes in plants are considered to be one of the major resistance components and are always associated with a hypersensitive response according to the gene-for-gene concept (Fukoku et al., 2009). Resistance genes for rice blast are generally identified in landraces and/or wild rice using physiological races of *M. oryzae* (Tanksley and McCouch, 1997). The use of conventional breeding techniques to transfer *R* genes allowed for the successful development of many blast resistance genotypes (Miah et al., 2013). On the other hand, molecular breeding techniques, which utilize DNA markers that are tightly linked to the blast *R* genes, provide a great contribution to the breeding programs. The complete genome sequencing of rice (Matsumoto et al., 2005) and the availability of different DNA markers (Huda et al., 2019) have made possible the identification of major *R* genes that confer resistance in different genotypes (Yildirim et al., 2018). The identification of blast resistance genes is crucial for breeding programs, and approximately 100 genes were identified as major *R* genes in rice germplasm in addition to 350 quantitative trait loci (QTL) associated with resistance to rice blast (Fukuoka et al., 2014). *Pi* genes, among many genes, have been identified, mapped, and characterized (Causse et al., 1994; Wang et al., 1994; Wang et al., 2014). Since each *R* gene contributes to a small portion of blast resistance, it is important to accumulate different *R* genes in a single genotype based on gene pyramiding (Wang et al., 2012; Miah, 2013). In this context, DNA markers serve as significant tools to identify the resistance genes in rice breeding programs without the need for conventional inoculation methods (Hayashi et al., 2010). Although the resistance genes mainly confer resistance to a pathogen, in some instances, they also cosegregate with other marker genes associated with quantitative traits (Kidane et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017). Therefore, it is of high interest to understand other putative roles if there are any, of DNA markers associated with disease resistance. The significant association between a marker gene and a trait is widely applied using association mapping approach in many crops including rice (Song et al., 2018). Since the identification of *R* genes in Egyptian and Saudi Arabian rice has not been well-addressed, it is worthwhile to determine putative local rice genotypes that carry *R* gene(s). In the current study, different DNA markers including SSR, SNP, and InDel were used to identify genotypes that carry resistance genes that may contribute to developing successful breeding programs. The objectives of this study were to 1) identify *Pit, Pib, Pi39(t), Pi40(t), Piz/Piz-t*, and *Pita/Pita2* genes in 10 rice genotypes from Egypt and Saudi Arabia, 2) evaluate the agro-morphological characters of the studied genotypes, and 3) examine the putative association between resistance genes and the agro-morphological traits using association mapping.

### Materials and methods

#### Plant material

Ten rice genotypes were used (Table 1), including two genotypes from Saudi Arabia (Al-Ahsa1 and Al-Ahsa2), one monogenic line from International Rice Research Institute (IRBL5-M), and seven from Egypt (Sakha104, Sakha108, Giza179, Sakha101, Eg-N-3, Eg-N-6, and Eg-N-7).

#### Evaluation of blast reaction in blast nursery test

The rice genotypes were evaluated for seedling reaction against *M. oryzae* under field nursery test for two seasons (2016 and 2017) in Egypt at three locations: Sakha (Kafr El Sheikh Governorate), Gemmiza (Gharbia Governorate), and Zarzora (Behera Governorate). The genotypes were left exposed for natural blast, and the typical blast lesions were scored after 40 days from sowing date. The blasts were scored according to the Standard Evaluation System using 0–9 scale (IRRI, 1996) as follows: 0–2 – resistant, 3 – moderately resistant, 4–6 – susceptible, and 7–9 – highly susceptible.

#### Phenotypic evaluation

The rice genotypes were grown and evaluated at the Rice Research and Training Center (RRTC), Kafr El Sheikh, Egypt, for two seasons (2016 and 2017). Randomized complete block design with three replicates was used for the analysis. In both seasons, a total of 19 agronomic traits were recorded: days to maturity, plant height (cm), number of tillers/plant, panicle length (cm),
Table 1. Parentage, and origin of 10 rice genotypes used in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Genotypes</th>
<th>Parentage</th>
<th>Origin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Al-Ahsa1</td>
<td>exotic (Japan)</td>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Al-Ahsa2</td>
<td>exotic (Japan)</td>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>IRBL5-M</td>
<td>(IRRI LINES)</td>
<td>IRRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sakha104</td>
<td>Gz40968-1/Gz4100-9-1</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sakha108</td>
<td>Sakha101/HR5824//Sakha101</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Giza179</td>
<td>Sakha101/HR5824//Sakha101</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sakha101</td>
<td>(Giza 176/Milyang 79)</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Eg-N-3</td>
<td>pure line selection</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Eg-N-6</td>
<td>pure line selection</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Eg-N-7</td>
<td>pure line selection</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

number of panicles/plant, panicle weight (g), 1000-grain weight (g), number of filled grain /panicles, sterility %, grain yield/m², grain length (mm), grain width (mm), grain shape (mm), hulling %, milling %, head rice %, elongation %, gelatinization temperature, and amylose content (%).

Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied for the genotypes combined over the two seasons using MSTAT-C software program (MSTATC, Michigan State University, 1992).

Marker genotyping

The genotypes were genotyped using six DNA markers including single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs; T8042, NSB, and YL153/YL154), simple sequence repeats (SSRs; RM3843 and RM3330) and insertion-deletions (InDels; z4794), which were selected based on their linkage to resistance genes (Srivastava et al., 2017). Primers were obtained from Sangon Biotech, China (Table 2). Genotyping was conducted at EPCRSCenter, Kafr El-Sheikh University, Egypt and Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, King Feisal University, Saudi Arabia. Total genomic DNA was isolated from the leaves of a single seedling for the 10 rice genotypes according to the protocol described by Maixner et al. (1995) with some modifications. The isolated DNA samples were amplified using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) performed in 15 μl of solution under the following conditions. The reaction mixture (25 μl) consisted of 12.5 μl of 2 × ready-to-use master mix (0.1 U/μl Taq polymerase, 500 μM dNTP, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 100 mM KCl, 3 mM MgCl2, stabilizer, enhancer, 10 pmol of each primer, 1 μl of DNA (50 ng), and 9.5 μl of PCR grade water). Amplifications were performed in a thermocycler (Bio-Rad C-1000) under the following temperature conditions: 1) initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 minutes, 2) denaturation at 94°C for 30 seconds, 3) the annealing temperature of the primers differed according to each marker (42–65°C) for 1 minute, 4) extension at 72°C for 1 minute, 5) steps 2, 3, and 4 were repeated for 40 cycles, and 6) final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes. Fragments were separated on 1.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide. The gels were then photographed, and allelic sizes were determined using BioDoc Analysis software (Biometra, Germany).

DNA polymorphism and genotype identification

The genotypes were screened based on the presence or absence of a specific band (allele) in each genotype for all markers. This information will be further used in evaluating marker–trait association.

Association between molecular markers and agromorphological traits

To determine any putative association between DNA markers and the agronomic traits, association genetic analysis was applied. First, genotypic data from the six markers were used along with information on the origin of each individual genotype to determine population structure using the model-based Bayesian clustering...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resistance gene</th>
<th>Marker name</th>
<th>Marker type</th>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>Forward sequence (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Reverse sequence (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pit T8042</td>
<td>SNP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CTCAAGATTGTATCGTCGACGACTA</td>
<td>GAGAGGTTTGCAAGCCAGACAGG</td>
<td>Hayashi et al., 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pib</td>
<td>NSb</td>
<td>SNP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>ATCAACTCTGCCACAAATCC</td>
<td>CCCATATCAACCTTTGTCCCC</td>
<td>Cho et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pi39(t)</td>
<td>RM3843</td>
<td>SSR</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>ACCCTACTCCCAACAGTCCC</td>
<td>GGGGTGTCAGCCTCATGTC</td>
<td>Terashima et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pi40(t)</td>
<td>RM3330</td>
<td>SSR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>ATTATCCCCTCCTCCGCTC</td>
<td>AAGAAACCCCTGGAATTCCTG</td>
<td>Jeung et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piz/Piz-t</td>
<td>z4794</td>
<td>InDel</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>CACGCCACCTTTCAATGGAGACT</td>
<td>TGAATGAGAGGGTGACTGG</td>
<td>Hayashi et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pita/Pita2</td>
<td>YL153/YL154</td>
<td>SNP</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>CAACAATTTAATCATAACG</td>
<td>ATGACACCTGCGATGCA</td>
<td>Suh et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
algorithm (STRUCTURE; Pritchard et al., 2000). Second, pairwise kinship coefficients among the genotypes were estimated according to Ritland (1996) using the software package SpaGeDi (Hardy and Vekemans, 2002). Marker–trait associations were determined using the unified mixed-model approach (Yu et al., 2006): $y = S\alpha + Qv + Zu + e$, where $y$, $\alpha$, $v$, $u$, and $e$ are vectors of phenotypic observations, marker effect (fixed), population effects (fixed), kinship effects (random), and residual effects, respectively, and $S$, $Q$, and $Z$ are matrices of 1 s and 0 s relating $y$ to $\alpha$, $v$, and $u$, respectively. Genetic association analyses were conducted using TASSEL version 5.0 (released October 2018, http://www.maizegenetics.net), and positive associations were determined at the $P < 0.05$ level.

**Results and discussion**

### Evaluation of blast reaction in blast nursery test

The response of the rice genotypes to *M. oryzae* infection was studied at three locations (Sakha, Gemmiza, and Zarzoura), the details of which are presented in Table 3. The results showed that five genotypes (Al-Ahsa1, Al-Ahsa2, Sakha104, Sakha101, and Eg-N-3) were susceptible/highly susceptible to blast disease in three locations except for Eg-N-3 at Sakha which was moderately resistant. The remaining genotypes were resistant to moderately resistant. On the other hand, IRBL5-M which carries the resistance gene *Pi5* (Tsunematsu et al., 2000) was found to be resistant, while Sakha101 which carries *Pita2* gene (personal communication) was found to be susceptible.

### ANOVA and performance of agro-morphological traits

Analysis of variance showed that most of the observed variations were due to genotypic differences (Table 4), since a highly significant variance was observed among genotypes. For the agro-morphological traits, the studied genotypes showed wide variation in mean trait performance, and the results of the studied 19 agronomic traits are presented in Table 5. The most important trait that affected the yield was days to maturity. Al-Ahsa1 had the highest number of days for maturation with a mean value of 156 days compared to Giza 179, the latter was the earliest among the studied genotypes and had an average of 123 days to maturity. Based on the number of tillers per plant, Sakha101 and Sakha104 showed higher mean values with 24 tillers/plant compared to the other genotypes, whereas Al-Ahsa2 and IRBL5-M showed the lowest number of tillers per plant with 15 and 16 tillers per plant, respectively. For the trait number of panicles/plant, Sakha104 exhibited the highest average of 24 compared to the mean value of 13.65 which was observed in Al-Ahsa2. With regard to panicle weight, Sakha108 showed threefold higher weight when compared to Eg-N-3. When 1000-grain weight was determined for all genotypes, IRBL5-M showed the highest average of 33.75 gm as compared to Eg-N-3, which weighed 20.48 gm. For the number of filled grains/panicle, Giza 179 had the highest mean of 150 which was 2.5-fold greater than that observed for IRBL-M. According to grain yield/m², the yield of Eg-N-7 was twofold higher compared to Al-Ahsa1 which showed a yield of 33.4 gm/m². The observation of high variability among the studied genotypes may be the result of different genetic background of the samples. For instance, comparing the mean performance of genotypes from Saudi Arabia to that of Egypt, the former showed earliness in maturation compared to the latter. However, the Egyptian genotypes outperformed both Saudi Arabian genotypes and IRBL5-M in grain yield with approximately 45% increase. The obtained results were comparable to other studies on Egyptian rice genotypes including Giza179 and Sakha104 (Abd El-Megeed et al., 2016).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Genotypes</th>
<th>Test location</th>
<th>Sakha</th>
<th>Gemmiza</th>
<th>Zarzora</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Al-Ahsa1</td>
<td>7*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Al-Ahsa2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>IRBL5-M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sakha104</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sakha108</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Giza179</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sakha101</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Eg-N-3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Eg-N-6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Eg-N-7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Standard 0–9 scale, where 0–2 – resistant, 3 – moderately resistant, 4–6 – susceptible, 7–9 – highly susceptible reactions
Table 4. Analysis of variance for 10 rice genotypes for yield and its components traits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>Days to maturity</th>
<th>Plant height [cm]</th>
<th>Number of tillers / plant</th>
<th>Panicle length [cm]</th>
<th>Number of panicle / plant</th>
<th>Panicle weight [g]</th>
<th>1000-grain weight [g]</th>
<th>Number of filled grain / panicles</th>
<th>Sterility [%]</th>
<th>Grain yield/m²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replication</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotype</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>393.87**</td>
<td>1744.92**</td>
<td>40.55**</td>
<td>37.61**</td>
<td>43.78**</td>
<td>2.82**</td>
<td>53.30**</td>
<td>2478.46**</td>
<td>988.18**</td>
<td>43730.87**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>4.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>Grain length [mm]</th>
<th>Grain width [mm]</th>
<th>Grain shape [mm]</th>
<th>Hulling [%]</th>
<th>Milling [%]</th>
<th>Head rice [%]</th>
<th>Elongation [%]</th>
<th>Gelatinization temperature</th>
<th>Amylose content [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replication</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotype</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.202**</td>
<td>0.144**</td>
<td>0.0957**</td>
<td>68.00**</td>
<td>59.57**</td>
<td>600.27**</td>
<td>783.12**</td>
<td>7.41**</td>
<td>96.08**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Significant at $P < 0.001$
Table 5. Mean performance and range of 10 Egyptian and exotic rice genotypes for yield and its components traits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Days to maturity</th>
<th>Plant height [cm]</th>
<th>Number of tillers / plant</th>
<th>Panicle length [cm]</th>
<th>Number of panicle / plant</th>
<th>Panicle weight [g]</th>
<th>1000-grain weight [g]</th>
<th>Number of filled grain / panicles</th>
<th>Sterility [%]</th>
<th>Grain yield / m² [gm/m²]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al-Ahsa1</td>
<td>156.33</td>
<td>144.00</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>109.00</td>
<td>51.00</td>
<td>332.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Ahsa2</td>
<td>155.67</td>
<td>143.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>27.33</td>
<td>13.67</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>25.33</td>
<td>105.00</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>343.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRBL5-M</td>
<td>131.33</td>
<td>135.00</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>18.63</td>
<td>16.33</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>33.75</td>
<td>85.00</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>366.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakha104</td>
<td>137.00</td>
<td>104.00</td>
<td>24.67</td>
<td>21.77</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>29.51</td>
<td>134.67</td>
<td>12.13</td>
<td>527.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakha108</td>
<td>137.00</td>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>20.33</td>
<td>23.50</td>
<td>19.67</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>26.48</td>
<td>148.33</td>
<td>14.79</td>
<td>504.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giza179</td>
<td>123.00</td>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>19.73</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>29.55</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>596.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakha101</td>
<td>142.00</td>
<td>95.00</td>
<td>24.67</td>
<td>23.20</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>28.55</td>
<td>117.33</td>
<td>24.15</td>
<td>599.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eg-N3</td>
<td>129.00</td>
<td>86.00</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>17.70</td>
<td>16.33</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>20.48</td>
<td>63.00</td>
<td>14.66</td>
<td>619.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eg-N6</td>
<td>127.33</td>
<td>87.67</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>20.33</td>
<td>20.67</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>28.40</td>
<td>117.33</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>568.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eg-N7</td>
<td>130.00</td>
<td>83.00</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>19.37</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>20.05</td>
<td>81.67</td>
<td>16.14</td>
<td>651.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>123.00–156.33</td>
<td>83.00–144.00</td>
<td>15.00–24.00</td>
<td>19.37–28.00</td>
<td>14.00–24.00</td>
<td>1.65–4.60</td>
<td>20.05–33.75</td>
<td>81.67–150.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Genotype identification and allelic diversity

Six DNA markers were used for screening of the genotypes bearing blast resistance genes. The marker T8042, linked to Pit gene, showed positive amplifications (for two alleles of size 450 and 650 bp) in four genotypes: Al-Ahsa1, Al-Ahsa2, Giza179, and Eg-N-3 (Fig. 1A; Table 6). However, the marker was absent in the IRBL5-M, Sakha104, Sakha108, Sakha101, Eg-N-6, and Eg-N-7 genotypes. The marker NSB, linked to Pib, showed positive amplification in all genotypes except IRBL5-M and Eg-N-6 (Fig. 1B). The studied marker had three different alleles (500, 600, and 700 bp; Table 6). RM3843, linked to Pib39(t), showed positive amplification in all genotypes (Fig. 1C). The marker showed polymorphic amplification of alleles with size 180 and 200 bp (Table 6). Al-Ahsa1, Al-Ahsa2, IRBL5-M, and Giza179 had 180-bp alleles, whereas Sakha104, Sakha108, Sakha101, Eg-N-3, Eg-N-6, and Eg-N-7 possessed the 200-bp allele. RM3330 linked to Pib40(t) showed positive amplifications for all genotypes (Fig. 1D). All genotypes except Sakha101 showed polymorphic amplification with two alleles of size 180 and 220 bp. Sakha101 had only one allele (180 bp). The molecular marker z4794 associated with Piz/Piz-t gene showed positive amplification in all the studied genotypes (Fig. 1E). The amplified alleles showed low polymorphism with two identified alleles of size 157 and 200 bp. Five genotypes (IRBL5-M, Sakha104, Eg-N-3, Eg-N-6, and Eg-N-7) had bands specific for the first allele, whereas the genotypes Al-Ahsa1, Al-Ahsa2, Sakha108, Giza179, and Sakha101 had bands for the second allele. Molecular marker YL153/YL154, which is linked to Pita/Pita-2 genotype, showed only one allele at 450 bp. Among the studied genotypes, Al-Ahsa1, Al-Ahsa2, Giza179 and Eg-N-3 showed positive amplification of the 450-bp allele, which is found to be specific for these genotypes. In addition, Hassan et al. (2018) also reported similar results where YL153/YL154 alleles were detected in Al-Ahsa1 and Al-Ahsa2. The results of blast-specific marker amplifications revealed that the studied genotypes showed the presence of a wide array of blast resistance genes. The Egyptian genotype Eg-N-7 had only RM3330 associated with Pib40(t), whereas all the studied markers were present in the Egyptian genotype Giza179 and the Saudi Arabian genotype Al-Ahsa1 (Table 6). Additionally, four markers were identified in Sakha104, Sakha108, and Eg-N-3. The use of molecular markers for the identification of rice genotypes carrying resistance genes is well-established in different geographic regions (Liu et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015; Yadav et al., 2019); however, in Egypt and Saudi Arabia, research related to the identification of resistance genes in rice is still in preliminary stages.

Genetic association between molecular markers and the agro-morphological traits

Association mapping analysis identified eight significant marker–trait associations (P < 0.05) for eight agromorphological traits: plant height, panicle length, sterility %, grain width, grain shape, elongation %, gelatinization temperature, and days to maturity (Table 7). Among the studied markers, NSB showed significant associations with four traits (panicle length, sterility %, gelatinization temperature, and days to maturity), whereas RM3843 was significantly associated with two traits (plant height and grain shape). However, both YL153/YL154 and z4794 were associated with one trait (elongation %). On the other hand, no marker–trait association was detected with T8042. The phenotypic variance ($R^2$) shown by each marker was moderate and ranged between 43% for YL153/YL154 (associated with elongation %) and 65% for RM3843 (associated with grain shape) (Table 7). One of the major roles of association mapping is evaluating the performance of marker–phenotype combination in a group of individuals (Zondervan and Cardon, 2004). In breeding programs, association...
### Table 6. Alleles detected and its molecular weight (mw) in base pare (bp) in 10 rice genotypes (1 – allele is present and 0 – allele is absent)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resistance gene</th>
<th>Molecular marker</th>
<th>T8042</th>
<th>NSB</th>
<th>RM3843</th>
<th>RM3330</th>
<th>z4794</th>
<th>YL153/YL154</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pit</td>
<td>Pib</td>
<td>Pi39(t)</td>
<td>Pi40(t)</td>
<td>Piz/Piz-t</td>
<td>Pita/ Pita-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allele mw (bp)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Ahsa1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Ahsa2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRBL5-M</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakha104</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakha108</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giza179</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakha101</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eg-N-3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eg-N-6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eg-N-7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1.** Amplification pattern of A) T8042, B) NSB, C) RM3843, D) RM3330, E) z4794, and F) YL153/YL154. M; 100 bp DNA ladder, 1–10; denotes the 10 rice genotypes included in the study, arrows indicate the specific amplified alleles.
mapping plays a crucial role in the identification of possible donor plants that are needed for the improvement (Choudhury et al., 2014) of rice cultivars. Although the markers used in the current study were previously reported to be tightly linked to the blast resistance genes (Srivastava et al., 2017), the association analysis demonstrated the possible effect of these markers on the agromorphological traits. These results were in accordance with those reported by a genome-wide association study conducted in wheat (Kidane et al., 2017). In their study, the authors pointed out that the presence of putative quantitative trait loci (QTL) is associated with blotch resistance, which were also found to be overlapped with the QTL associated with different agronomic traits.

Conclusions

The results of the current study highlight the importance of DNA markers in the screening of rice genotypes and in breeding programs. The resistance gene(s) present in the native varieties will serve as the essential material for the breeding programs that aim to control blast disease. More importantly, the recent developments in marker-assisted breeding will promote the pyramiding of multiple genes controlling multiple traits, which in turn will play a significant role in controlling various biotic and abiotic stress responses. Potential genotypes, which have been proven to be blast resistant, could be utilized in association studies for dual purposes: first, for the identification of resistance gene(s) in local genotypes, and second, for the determination of the putative effect of such genes(s) on the agronomic performance. Association mapping revealed low number of marker–trait associations. However, the low allelic diversity at the studied loci, which can be attributed to specific marker genes, was adequate to statistically address the marker–trait association between specific markers and the agronomic traits. The phenotypic and genotypic data included in the current study could provide insights into understanding phenotype–genotype relationship through performing association mapping studies for rice blast resistance genes.
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