

http://doi.org/10.5114/bta.2019.83211

Fengycin or plipastatin? A confusing question in Bacilli

WALAA HUSSEIN

The Genetics and Cytology Department, Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Division, National Research Center, Dokki, Giza, Egypt

Abstract

(cc) (€) (©) (©)

According to most of the related literature published since their discovery in 1986, fengycin and plipastatin are very related molecules. These are lipodecapeptides encoded by operons of five synthetase genes. The most important difference between these two molecules lies in the peptide moiety at the position of the D-tyrosine, which is encoded by the second gene *fenB* of fengycin operon and by the fourth gene *ppsD* of plipastatin operon. Here, we aimed to differentiate between fengycin and plipastatin molecules. We designed degenerate primers using the consensus sequence of the epimerization domain responsible for the transformation of L-tyrosine to D-tyrosine from *Bacillus subtilis* 168, *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42, and *Bacillus atrophaeus* 1942. These degenerate primers were then used to amplify fragments from *B. amyloliquefaciens* S499, *B. subtilis* ATCC 21332, and *Bacillus cereus*. Alignment of the sequences of the amplified fragments with the sequences from the mentioned strains deposited in GenBank database showed high similarity with 64 *B. subtilis* strains, 24 *B. amyloliquefaciens* strains, seven *B. atrophaeus* strains, one *B. cereus* strain, one *Bacillus sonorensis* strain, two *Bacillus methylotrophicus* strains, and 45 *Bacillus velezensis* strains. The results confirmed that these *Bacillus* strains *harbor* the tyrosine epimerization domain located on the fourth gene of their fengycin or plipastatin operons, which indicated that these strains synthesize plipastatin rather than fengycin.

Key words: fengycin, plipastatin, Bacilli, epimerization domain, NRPS

Introduction

Fengycins and plipastatins are closely related lipodecapeptides produced by various species of Bacillus, namely Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus amyloliquefaciens, Bacillus globigii, and Bacillus cereus (Schneider et al., 1999; Volpon et al., 2000; Vater et al., 2002; Williams et al., 2002; Bie et al., 2009; Pyoung et al., 2010). These molecules are synthesized by the nonribosomal peptides system (NRPS), which is a multienzyme system consisting of an arrangement of modules. The enzymatic units that reside within a module are called domains. Each module contains three domains: an adenylation (A) domain for substrate recognition, a peptidyl carrier protein (PCP) domain to hold the activated substrate, and a condensation (C) domain to form peptide bonds (Dieckmann et al., 1995; Stachelhaus and Marahiel, 1995; Stachelhaus et al., 1996; Mootz and Marahiel, 1997; Stachelhaus et al., 1998; Ehmann et al., 2000; May et al., 2001; Bergendahl et al., 2002).

Based on the amino acid residue present at position 6, fengycin and plipastatin may have any of the two structures: form A (Ala) or form B (Val). In the structure of these molecules, the peptidic moiety has an internal lactone ring between the carboxyl group of the terminal amino acid (Ile) and the hydroxyl group of the tyrosine residue at position 3. The β -hydroxy fatty acid chains at C14–C18 positions are linked to the N-terminal amino acid residue (Glu) via an amide bond (Vanittanakom et al., 1986; Nishikiori et al., 1986b). The fatty acid chains at C15, C16, and C17 positions are the main representatives and are saturated, except in the case of the lipopeptide isolated from Bacillus thuringiensis which has a fatty acid chain with a double bond between C13 and C14 (Kim et al., 2004). The structure of the lipodecapeptide plipastatin from B. cereus BMG302fF67 was first described by Nishikiori et al. (1986a). Based on the structure, plipastatins are divided into two groups: group A and group B. Group A plipastatins have

^{*} Corresponding author: The Genetics and Cytology Department, Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Division, National Research Center, Dokki, Giza, Egypt; e-mail: nourwalaa@hotmail.com



Fig. 1. The organization of plipastatin and fengycin operon and the differences in peptide structures as reported by Ongena and Jacques (2008); D-tyrosine is located on *ppsD* gene at position 9 (amino acid) in plipastatin, whereas it is located on *fenD* gene at position 3 in fengycin

D-Ala at the sixth position of the amino acid sequence from the N-terminus, whereas group B plipastatins have D-Val. The plipastatins numbered '1'1 (A1 and B1) have 3(R)-hydroxyhexadecanoic acid (B-hydroxypalmitic acid) as the fatty acid residue, whereas those numbered '2'2 (A2 and B2) have 14(S)-methyl-3(R)-hydroxyhexadecanoic acid (B-hydroxy-anteiso-palmitic acid) as the fatty acid residue.

Electrospray ionization/collision-induced dissociation mass spectrometry was used in a study to analyze the fengycin homologues produced by *B. subtilis* JA (Wang et al., 2004). The L and D forms of tyrosine and the presence of a Gln residue instead of a Glu at position 8 were found to constitute the differences between fengycin and plipastatin. The L and D forms of tyrosine were found at positions 3 and 9 for plipastatins, respectively, whereas they were found at positions 9 and 3 for fengycins (Wang et al., 2004) (Fig. 1). However, Schneider et al. (1999) detected a fengycin molecule with a D-Tyr at position 3 from the supernatant of the culture of *B. subtilis* S499. This result could be correlated with the findings on the structure of the synthetases described for other fengycin- or plipastatin-producing strains.

Bacillus subtilis 168 was the first strain in which the operon encoding fengycin or plipastatin synthetases was described (Tosato et al., 1997); five synthetase-encoding genes (*ppsABCDE*) were reported in this strain. Wu et al. (2007) reported that in *B. subtilis* F29–3 strain five genes encoding fengycin synthetases were present in *fenCDEAB* order. The other plipastatin or fengycin synthetases were described in *B. subtilis* b213 and A1/3 (Steller et al., 2000). The authors reported that the operon was a cluster of five genes (*fen* 1–5) which showed

a high homology to the *pps* and *fen* genes from *B. subtilis* strains 168 and F29–3. Finally, in *B. amyloliquefaciens* FZB42, Koumoutsi et al. (2004) reported that the organization and location of fengycin (*fen*) operon were similar to *B. subtilis* 168.

Plipastatins are reported to act as inhibitors of phospholipase A2, an enzyme involved in various important physiological cellular functions such as inflammation, acute hypersensitivity, and blood platelet aggregation (Umezawa et al., 1986). Fengycins are known to act as strong fungitoxic agents against various filamentous fungi such as *Botrytis cinerea, Colletotrichum acutatum, Monilinia fructicola, Monilinia hiemalis, Paecilomyces variotii, Pyricularia oryzae, Rhizoctonia solani, Schizophyllum commune, Fusarium moniliforme* Sheldon ATCC 38932, and *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* (Vanittanakom et al., 1986; Sun et al., 2006; Romero et al., 2007; Hu et al., 2007; Bie et al., 2009; Pyoung et al., 2010).

Here, we aimed to differentiate between fengycin and plipastatin based on the position of tyrosine epimerization domain in the second and fourth genes of the operon using degenerate primers for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and NRPS predictor software for analyzing the multi-domain synthetases involved in the biosynthesis of fengycin and plipastatin from different *Bacillus* strains.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains

The *Bacillus* species and *Escherichia coli* strains used in this study were grown aerobically in Luria–Bertani medium at 37° C (Table 1).

Bacterial strains	Description	
B. subtilis ATCC 21332	wild type	ProBioGEM
<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> S499	wild type	ProBioGEM
<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> FZB42	wild type	ProBioGEM
<i>B. subtilis</i> 168	$\mathrm{trp}\mathrm{C}^2$, sfp^0	lab stock
B. cereus	wild type	lab stock
<i>E. coli</i> DH5α	F^- endA1 glnV44 thi-1 recA1 relA1 gyrA96 deoR nupG purB20 φ80d <i>lacZ</i> ΔM15 Δ(<i>lacZYA-argF</i>)U169, hsdR17($r_K^-m_K^+$), λ^-	lab stock
Plasmids	Description	Source
pGEM-T Easy	cloning vector, Ap ^r	lab stock
pMG113	1670 bp <i>fenD</i> (Ep) fragment of <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> ATCC 21332 cloned into pGEM-T Easy	this study
pMG114	1651 bp <i>fenD</i> (Ep) fragment of <i>Bacillus cereus</i> into pGEM-T Easy	this study
pMG115 1641 bp <i>fenD</i> (Ep) fragment of <i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> S499 clone into pGEM-T Easy		this study
pMG116	1446 bp <i>fenB</i> fragment of <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> ATCC 21332 cloned into pGEM-T Easy	this study
pMG117	1449 bp <i>fenB</i> fragment of <i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> S499 cloned into pGEM-T Easy	this study
pMG118	1447 bp fenB fragment of Bacillus cereus into pGEM-T Easy	this study

Table 1. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study

ProBioGEM - Laboratoire des Procédés Biologiques, Génie Enzymatique et Microbien, France

NC_000964.3 NC_014639.1 NC_009725.1 NC_000964.3 NC_014639.1 NC_009725.1	AATACTGGCAGAT ATATGGAAAGAAGTACTGGGGGCAGAAA, GATCGGAACAGC 54 GGAAAAAGAATTGGCTAAC ATCTGGACAGAAGTGCTGGGGGCAGAAA, GATCGGAACAGC 54 * ** * TGATTCGTTCTTTGAACTTGGCGGAGAGTGCTCGGAACTGAAA, CATTGCCGCGGA 54 ** **** ***** ** ** ** ** ** ** ***** TGATTCGTTCTTTGAACTTGGCGGAGATTCAATCAAAGCGTTACAGGTATCTGCGCGGCGGCT 114 TGAATCGTTTTTTGAACTTGGCGGAGAGTTCCAATCAAAGCGTTACAGGTGTCGGCGGCGGCT 120 TAAATCATTTTTTGAACTGGGCGGCGATTCCAATCAAAGCCTTGCAGGTGTCGGCGGCGGCT 114 * *** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ***** ******	A
NC_000964.3 NC_014639.1 NC_009725.1	AACAGCTTAGCGAAACATGCCGTCAATTTTTATTACAGCTGATCGAACACTGCCAGAACA 1595 AAAAGCTCTGCGCGGAATTGTCATCGTTATTTACTGCAGCTGATCAAACACTGTCTAAACA 1619 AACGGCTGTCCGAAAGCTGCCGGTATTATCTCCCTGAAACTGTCGGAACACTGCCTCAGCA 1583 ** *** ** ** * ** * * * * * * * * * *	В
NC_000964.3 NC_014639.1 NC_009725.1	AAAGCGAAACAGAAAAGACGATCAGTGATTTTGATGATCAAGAACTGACCGAGGACGCCCC 1655 AAACAGAAGCTGAGAAAACCGTCAGCGACTTTGATGATCGTGAACCGAGGAGGATGCGC 1679 AAACCGATACGGAGAAGACCGTCAGTGACTTTGATGATCGGGAACCTGACTGA	
NC_000964.3 NC_014639.1 NC_009725.1	TGCAAGAGAT TTAAAGATAT CAATGATATGCTGAGCCTCCTTTAA 1714 TGCAGGATAT ' 1654 * * ** **	

Fig. 2. ClustalW2 multiple-sequence alignment of tyrosine epimerization domain of fengycin (plipastatin) synthetase D of *Bacillus subtilis* 168, *Bacillus atrophaeus* 1942, and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42 (position 9); sequence "A" refers to the consensus sequence used for designing the forward primer, while sequence "B" refers to the consensus sequence used for designing the reverse primer

NC_014639.1 NC_000964.3168 NC_009725.1	GGCGGGCAGTCATTAAAAGCCGCTACACTTGTATCAAGAATACATAAAAAGCTGAATATC 60 A
NC_014639.1 NC_000964.3168 NC_009725.1	CAGCTGCCGCTCAGCGAAGTGTTTATATATCCCGACAGTAGAAAGCATGGCA-GCTAAAAT 119 GAGCTGCCGCTCAGTGAGGTGTTTTCTTATCCGACGGTTGAAAGCATGGCG-GTCAAATT 107 GAACTTGCCGTCCGCCATGTTTTTGAACATCCGACCATCGAGAGCATGGCCCGCTTCCTT 117 * ** * ** * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
NC_014639.1 NC_000964.3168 NC_009725.1	CTGCTGCTTTGAGTACAGCACCGCATTATTTGAGCACGAAACCGTTGCGCGCCGGGCCCAA 1373 CTGCCGTTTTGAATACAGCACTGCTCTTTTTGAAGAAGAAACCATTACGCAGTGGGCAAC 1364 TTTTCATTTTGAATACAGTACCGCGTTGTTTGAAAAAGATACGATCGAACGGTGGGCCCGG 1376 * ***** ***** ** ** * * ***** * * * *
NC_014639.1 NC_000964.3168 NC_009725.1	TCATTTCAAGGAACTGCTGAGAAGTATAACGGCCGATACAGACGTGAAGCTGGCTCATAT 1433 CTATTTTATTGAATTGGTAAAAGGGGTAACGGCGGATACTGAGATGAGAATTTCGAACAT 1424 TCATTTTAAAGAGCTTTTAGGACAAATCGCATCGGATTACGAACGGAAGCTGTCTGACAT 1436 **** * ** * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
NC_014639.1 NC_000964.3168 NC_009725.1	GCAGATGCTTGGAGGCTCAGAGC, ACGTATGCTGTTG 1470 GCAATTGCTTCCTGCTGCAGAGC; TAGGTTACTCCTTGAAAAAATG 1470 TCATTTGCTGGGCATAAACGAGC; GCAGATGCTT 1470 ** **** *** ***

Fig. 3. ClustalW2 multiple-sequence alignment of the tyrosine thiolation domain and the threonine adenylation domain of fengycin (plipastatin) synthetase B of *Bacillus atrophaeus* 1942, *Bacillus subtilis* 168, and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42 (position 3); sequence "A" refers to the consensus sequence used for designing the forward primer, while sequence "B" refers to the consensus sequence used for designing the reverse primer

Primer name	Primer sequence $(5'-3')$	Fragment size	
<i>Ep</i> fwd	5'-TRAAAGCSGCBDCRCTKGTWTCAA-3'	- 1641–1670 bp	
<i>Ep</i> rev	5'-AYATKCADWTGCTKSSHRBHDMMGAGC-3'		
fenB fwd	5'-ATHTGCAVMGAAGTRCTSGGDRCWGAAA-3'	- 1443–1449 bp	
fenB rev	5'-CTRACYGAGGAHGCVYTKMARGAKAT-3'		

Table 2. Degenerate primers designed to detect the tyrosine epimerization domain from Bacillus species

Degenerate primers design and PCR conditions

The sequences used for designing the degenerate primers were taken from GenBank. Among the primers, two pairs were designed manually using the IUPAC symbols for degenerate bases based on the consensus sequence of the epimerization domain. These primers were designed to recognize the tyrosine epimerization domain at position 9 (*fenD* or *ppsD*) but not at position 3 (*fenB* or *ppsB*). The first pair of primers (*Ep*) was designed based on the consensus sequence obtained from the alignment of the sequences of epimerization domain (Ep domain) of *fenD* from *B. amyloliquefaciens* FZB42, *ppsD* from *B. subtilis* 168, and *Bacillus atrophaeus* 1942 (Fig. 2 and Table 2).

The second pair of primers *fenB* was designed based on the consensus sequence obtained from the alignment of the sequences from *B. amyloliquefaciens* FZB42, *ppsB* from *B. subtilis* 168, and *B. atrophaeus* 1942 (Fig. 3). These primers were designed to detect whether there is a tyrosine epimerization domain prior to the adenylation domain of threonine in any of the *Bacillus* strains tested in the study.

The genomic DNA was isolated from the strains using DNA purification kit (Wizard® Genomic, Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The PCR conditions used in this study were as follows: initial denaturation step at 94 °C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation step at 94 °C for 45 sec, annealing step at 50 °C for 30 sec, and elongation step at 72 °C for 1.5 min. Following PCR, the gels were photographed using Gel DocTM XR+ Gel Documentation System (Bio-Rad).

Extraction of PCR products from agarose gel

Following amplification, the fragments were extracted and purified using Zymoclean® Gel DNA Recovery Kit (Epigenetics Company) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

PCR products ligation

The PCR fragments obtained from strains *B. subtilis* 168 and *B. subtilis* ATCC 21332, and *B. amyloliquefaciens* FZB42 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* S499 were ligated to pGEM-T Easy as described in the technical manual of pGEM®-T and pGEM®-T Easy Vector Systems from QIAGEN.

Cloning and transformation protocol

Cloning and transformation was performed as described in the technical manual of pGEM®-T and pGEM®-T Easy Vector Systems from QIAGEN. The procedure used was as follows: 2 μ l of ligation reaction was added to a sterile (17 × 100 mm) polypropylene tube or a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube and kept on ice. Another tube with 0.1 ng uncut plasmid was set up on ice to determine the transformation efficiency of the competent *E. coli* DH5 α cells prepared in the laboratory (Tang et al., 1994).

Results

Epimerization domain detection by degenerate primers

Using epimerization domain primers (*Ep*) and *fenB* primers, the fragments of expected sizes were amplified by PCR. All strains used for the detection of epimerization domain are listed in Table 2. The epimerization domain primers (*Ep*) amplified one fragment from all tested *Bacillus* strains: a fragment of 1670 bp from *B. subtilis* 168 and *B. subtilis* ATCC 21332 and a fragment of 1641 bp from *B. amyloliquefaciens* FZB42 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* S499; one fragment of 1651 bp was amplified from *B. cereus*.

The *fenB* primers also amplified one fragment from all tested *Bacillus* strains: a fragment of 1446 bp from *B. subtilis* 168 and *B. subtilis* ATCC 21332 and a fragment of 1449 bp from *B. amyloliquefaciens* FZB42 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* S499; one fragment of 1447 bp was amplified from *B. cereus*.

Alignment of the epimerization domain sequence with sequence in GenBank database

The fragments amplified by PCR using degenerate primers were cloned and subjected to sequencing. The

sequences of fragments were aligned on BLAST website (NCBI database). Only B. subtilis ATCC 21332 fragments submitted to GenBank and obtained these accession numbers (*ppsD* partial gene accession number: KX371639.1 and *ppsB* partial gene accession number: KX371637.1, GenBank). Importantly, the complete genome sequences of B. amyloliquefaciens S499 and B. cereus were found deposited in GenBank. The results of alignment with sequences from GenBank revealed that the genomes of 144 Bacillus strains harbor fengycin operon with the tyrosine epimerization domain at position 9 which has been shown in the literature as encoding plipastatin and not fengycin. The 64 B. subtilis strains which harbor tyrosine epimerization domain at position 9 (fenD or ppsD) are listed in Table 3, and the 80 Bacillus strains, including 24 B. amyloliquefaciens strains, seven B. atrophaeus strains, one B. cereus strain, one Bacillus sonorensis strain, two Bacillus methylotrophicus strains, and 45 Bacillus velezensis strains, which harbor the tyrosine epimerization domain at position 9 (fenD or ppsD) are listed in Table 4.

The epimerization domain catalyzes the epimerization of L-tyrosine of the growing polypeptide chain of fengycin or plipastatin. The NRPS predictor (Brian et al., 2009) identified six important residue positions or sequences of the epimerization domain known as the signature or code. These six sequences were all identified in the protein sequences of the epimerization domain of three strains, namely *B. cereus*, *B. subtilis* ATCC 21332, and *B. amyloliquefaciens* S499 (Fig. S1 in supplementary materials).

The alignment of sequences of the epimerization domain Ep (*fenD*) and *fenB* of *B. subtilis* ATCC 21332 showed 99% similarity with *B. subtilis* 168 and *B. subtilis* NCIB 3610. The sequences of Ep (partial *fenD*) and partial *fenB* from *B. subtilis* ATCC 21332 are shown in Figure S2 in supplementary materials.

The alignment of sequences of the epimerization domain Ep (*fenD*) and *fenB* of *B. cereus* showed 100% similarity with *B. cereus* MBGJa3 strain and 93% similarity with *B. subtilis* 168 strain. The sequences of Ep (partial *fenD*) and partial *fenB* from *B. cereus* are shown in Figure S3 in supplementary materials.

The alignment of sequences of the epimerization domain Ep (partial *fenD*) and partial *fenB* of *B. amyloliquefaciens* S499 revealed 99% similarity with *B. amyloliquefaciens* IT-45 and 97% similarity with *B. amylolique-*

Description	Accession	Description	Accession
Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis str. 168	CP019663.1	Bacillus subtilis strain GQJK2	CP020367.1
Bacillus subtilis F29–3	AF023464.2	Bacillus subtilis strain SG6	CP009796.1
Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis strain NCD-2	CP023755.1	Bacillus subtilis strain TLO3	CP021169.1
Bacillus subtilis strain SX01705	CP022287.1	Bacillus subtilis BSn5	CP002468.1
Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis strain BSD-2	CP013654.1	Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis strain D12–5	CP014858.1
Bacillus subtilis HJ5	CP007173.1	Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis str. BSP1	CP003695.1
Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis str. BAB-1	CP004405.1	Bacillus subtilis strain BS16045	CP017112.1
Bacillus subtilis XF-1	CP004019.1	Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis strain SRCM101392	CP021921.1
Bacillus subtilis strain BJ3–2	CP025941.1	Bacillus subtilis subsp. inaquosorum strain DE111	CP013984.1
Bacillus subtilis strain HJ0–6	CP016894.1	Bacillus subtilis strain CW14	CP016767.1
Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis str. OH 131.1	CP007409.1	Bacillus subtilis strain ATCC 19217	CP009749.1
Bacillus subtilis strain VV2	CP017676.1	Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis strain 168G	CP016852.1
Bacillus subtilis strain ge28	CP021903.1	Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis strain KCTC 3135	CP015375.1
Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis strain SRCM100761	CP021889.1	Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis strain delta6	CP015975.1
Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis strain SRCM100757	CP021499.1	Bacillus subtilis strain SZMC 6179J	CP015004.1
Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis strain SRCM101444	CP021498.1	Bacillus subtilis strain ATR2	CP018133.1
Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis RO-NN-1	CP002906.1	Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis strain CU1050	CP014166.1
Bacillus subtilis strain TLO3	CP023257.1	Bacillus subtilis strain TO-A JP	CP011882.1
Bacillus subtilis TOA	CP005997.1	Bacillus subtilis KCTC 1028	CP011115.1
Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis str. AG1839	CP008698.1	Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis strain 3NA	CP010314.1
Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis str. JH642 substr. AG174	CP007800.1	Bacillus subtilis strain PS832	CP010053.1
Bacillus subtilis PY79	CP006881.1	Bacillus subtilis strain HRBS-10TDI13	CP015222.1
Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis 6051-HGW	CP003329.1	Bacillus subtilis strain KH2	CP018184.1
Bacillus subtilis subsp. globigii strain ATCC 49760	CP014840.1	Bacillus subtilis strain 29R7–12	CP017763.1
Bacillus subtilis strain UD1022	CP011534.1	Bacillus subtilis strain Bs-115	CP020722.1
Bacillus subtilis strain BS38	CP017314.1	Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis strain SRCM100333	CP021892.1
Bacillus subtilis subsp. natto strain CGMCC 2108	CP014471.1	Bacillus subtilis strain DKU_NT_02	CP022890.1
Bacillus subtilis subsp. natto BEST195	AP011541.2	Bacillus subtilis strain DKU_NT_03	CP022891.1
Bacillus subtilis strain SR1	CP021985.1	Bacillus subtilis strain QST713	DQ011337.1
Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis strain QB5412	CP017312.1	Bacillus subtilis strain MB14	KF524437.1
Bacillus subtilis strain NCIB 3610	CP020102.1	Bacillus subtilis strain B-1	CP009684.1
Bacillus subtilis strain Bs-916	CP009611.1	Bacillus subtilis strain J-5	CP018295.1

Table 3. The list of *Bacillus subtilis* strains harboring the tyrosine epimerization domain of fengycinat position 9 based on BLAST alignment

Table 4. The list of *Bacillus* strains harboring the tyrosine epimerization domain of fengycin at position 9 based on BLAST alignment

Description	Accession	Description	Accession
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens CC178	CP006845.1	Bacillus velezensis strain SYBC H47	CP017747.1
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens subsp. plantarum str. FZB42	CP000560.1	Bacillus velezensis strain YJ11–1-4	CP011347.1
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens subsp. plantarum UCMB5113	HG328254.1	Bacillus velezensis SQR9	CP006890.1
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens subsp. plantarum UCMB5033	HG328253.1	Bacillus velezensis strain SCGB 1	CP023320.1
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens subsp. amyloliquefaciens KHG19	CP007242.1	Bacillus velezensis strain SCDB 291	CP022654.2
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens strain B15	CP014783.1	Bacillus velezensis strain 9D-6	CP020805.1
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens UMAF6639	CP006058.1	Bacillus velezensis strain CC09	CP015443.1
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens subsp. plantarum NAU-B3	HG514499.1	Bacillus velezensis strain SCGB 574	CP023431.1
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens strain Q-426 fenEDCBA	JQ271536.1	Bacillus velezensis strain CMT-6	CP025341.1
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens Y2	CP003332.1	Bacillus velezensis strain J01	CP023133.1
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens CC178	CP006845.1	Bacillus velezensis strain T20E-257	CP021976.1
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens subsp. plantarum YAU B9601-Y2	HE774679.1	Bacillus velezensis strain ZL918	CP021338.1
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens UMAF6614	CP006960.1	Bacillus velezensis strain GQJK49	CP021495.1
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens subsp. plantarum UCMB5036	HF563562.1	Bacillus velezensis strain JTYP2	CP020375.1
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens LFB112	CP006952.1	Bacillus velezensis strain sx01604	CP018007.1
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens strain WS-8	CP018200.1	Bacillus velezensis strain 157	CP022341.1
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens strain Y14	CP017953.1	Bacillus velezensis strain D2–2	CP014990.1
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens strain S499	CP014700.1	Bacillus velezensis strain LS69	CP015911.1
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens strain LM2303	CP018152.1	Bacillus velezensis strain S3–1	CP016371.1
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens IT-45	CP004065.1	Bacillus velezensis strain CBMB205	CP014838.1
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens strain L-S60	CP011278.1	Bacillus velezensis NJN-6	CP007165.1
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens strain L-H15	CP010556.1	Bacillus velezensis strain GFP-2	CP021011.1
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens strain MBE128	CP013727.1	Bacillus velezensis strain GH1–13	CP019040.1
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens subsp. plantarum CAU B946	HE617159.1	Bacillus velezensis strain JS25R	CP009679.1
Bacillus atrophaeus strain NRS 1221A	CP010778.1	Bacillus velezensis TrigoCor1448	CP007244.1
Bacillus atrophaeus subsp. globigii strain BSS	CP007640.1	Bacillus velezensis strain JJ-D34	CP011346.1
Bacillus atrophaeus 1942	CP002207.1	Bacillus velezensis strain 10075	CP025939.1
Bacillus atrophaeus strain SRCM101359	CP021500.1	Bacillus vallismortis strain NBIF-001	CP020893.1
Bacillus atrophaeus strain GQJK17	CP022653.1	Bacillus velezensis strain NKG-1	CP024203.1
Bacillus atrophaeus strain BA59	CP024051.1	Bacillus velezensis strain SRCM100072	CP021888.1
Bacillus atrophaeus UCMB-5137	CP011802.1	Bacillus velezensis strain Lzh-a42	CP025308.1
Bacillus cereus strain MBGJa3 c	CP026523.1	Bacillus velezensis strain CN026	CP024897.1
Bacillus methylotrophicus strain CBMB205	CP011937.1	Bacillus velezensis S141	AP018402.1
Bacillus methylotrophicus strain B25	LN999829.1	Bacillus velezensis strain OSY-S3	CP024706.1
Bacillus sonorensis strain SRCM101395	CP021920.1	Bacillus velezensis strain M75	CP016395.1
Bacillus velezensis strain 9912D	CP017775.1	Bacillus velezensis strain AGVL-005	CP024922.1
Bacillus velezensis strain LABIM40	CP023748.1	Bacillus velezensis strain TJ02	CP024797.1
Bacillus velezensis strain SB1216	CP015417.1	Bacillus velezensis strain L-1	CP023859.1
Bacillus velezensis AS43.3	CP003838.1	Bacillus velezensis strain TB1501	CP022531.1
Bacillus velezensis strain SRCM101413	CP021890.1	Bacillus velezensis strain DKU_NT_04	CP026533.1

faciens FZB42. The sequences of Ep (partial *fenD*) and partial *fenB* from *B. amyloliquefaciens* S499 are shown in Figure S4 in supplementary materials.

Discussion

An analysis of differences in the structure and biological activities revealed the distinction between the two lipodecapeptides fengycin and plipastatin (Jacques, 2011). To date, only a few studies have shown the differences between these two compounds (Nishikiori et al., 1986b; Vanittanakom et al., 1986; Wang et al., 2004). Only two differences were reported in their structure: 1) the presence of glutamine instead of glutamic acid at position 8 (this difference has not been mentioned in any other studies); and 2) the presence of L and D forms of tyrosine at positions 3 and 9 in plipastatin and positions 9 and 3 in fengycin, respectively (Wang et al., 2004). In this study, we aimed to differentiate plipastatin and fengycin via detecting the presence of the epimerization domain at position 3 or 9 using degenerate primers for PCR. The degenerate primers *Ep*(*fenD*) and *fenB* designed for the detection of position of the epimerization domain in the five Bacillus strains, namely B. subtilis 168 and B. subtilis ATCC 21332, B. cereus, and B. amyloliquefaciens FZB42 and B. amyloliquefaciens S499, revealed the presence of the tyrosine epimerization domain at position 9 (fenD or ppsD gene). These findings indicate that plipastatin is synthesized by all the Bacillus strains tested herein.

The alignment of five sequences of the fragments amplified by PCR using degenerate primers from B. subtilis ATCC 21332, B. cereus, and B. amyloliquefaciens S499 on BLAST website (NCBI database) revealed the presence of the epimerization domain at position 9 (ppsD gene). These results are in agreement with the results shown by Hussein et al. (2011) for B. subtilis 168 and *B. subtilis* ATCC 21332. The authors stated that the organization of the operon from B. subtilis ATCC 21332 is similar to that of plipastatin operon from B. subtilis 168. Schneider et al. (1999) suggested that understanding the organization of fengycin operon from B. subtilis S499 can remove the barriers to differentiating fengycin and plipastatin. They also confirmed the existence of fengycin molecules (with D-tyrosine at position 3) in the tested bacterial strain. When studying the organization of fengycin operon in B. subtilis S499, Hussein et al. (2011) confirmed that this strain belongs to *B. amylo-* *liquefaciens* species. The fengycin operon of *B. amyloliquefaciens* S499 was also studied by the authors, but the results did not confirm whether the molecule harbored by this strain was fengycin or plipastatin (Hussein et al., 2011). However, in our study, we confirmed that this strain harbors plipastatin.

The sequence of fengycin operon of *B. amyloliquefaciens* S499 is now available in GenBank, which confirms our investigations about the presence of the epimerization domain at position 9, indicating that the molecule synthesized by this strain is plipastatin and not fengycin (Puopolo et al., 2016).

Conclusions

This work confirms the presence of plipastatin in 144 *Bacillus* strains, most of which were thought to harbor fengycin. The search for the position of tyrosine epimerization domain using degenerate primers revealed that fengycin was never detected in any *Bacillus* s train or mentioned in any database.

References

- Bergendahl V., Linne U., Marahiel M.A. (2002) *Mutational* analysis of the C domain in nonribosomal peptide synthesis. Eur. J. Biochem. 269: 620–629.
- Bie X., Zhaoxin L., Lu F. (2009) Identification of fengycin homologues from Bacillus subtilis with ESI-MS/CID. J. Microb. Meth. 79: 272–278.
- Bachmann B.O., Ravel J. (2009) In silico prediction of microbial secondary metabolic pathways from DNA sequence data. Meth. Enzymol. 458: 181–217.
- Dieckmann R., Lee Y.O., van Liempt H., von Döhren H., Kleinkauf H. (1995) *Expression of an active adenylate-forming domain of peptide synthetases corresponding to acyl-CoAsynthetases*. FEBS Lett. 357: 212–216.
- Ehmann D.E., Shaw-Reid C.A., Losey H.C., Walsh C.T. (2000) The EntF and EntE adenylation domains of Escherichia coli enterobactin synthetase: sequestration and selectivity in acyl-AMP transfers to thiolation domain co-substrates. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 97: 2509–2514.
- Hu L.B., Shi ZO., Zhang T., Yang Z.M. (2007) Fengycin antibiotics isolated from B-FSO1 culture inhibit the growth of Fusarium moniliforme Sheldon ATCC38932. FEMS. Microbiol. Lett. 272: 91–98.
- Hussein W. (2011) *Study on the regulation and biosynthesis of fengycins and plipastatins produced by Bacillus subtilis.* www.théses.fr. (online).
- Kim P.I., Bai H., Bai D., Chae H., Chung S., Kim Y., Park R., Chi Y.T. (2004) *Purification and characterization of a lipopeptide produced by Bacillus thuringiensis CMB26*. J. Appl. Microbiol. 97: 942–949.

- Jacques P. (2011) Surfactin and other lipopeptides from Bacillus spp. [in:] Biosurfactants microbiology monographs. Ed. G. Soberon-Chavez. Springer vol. 20, pp. 57–91.
- Koumoutsi A., Chen X.H., Henne A., Liesegang H., Hitzeroth G., Franke P., Vater J., Borriss R. (2004) Structural and functional characterization of gene clusters directing nonribosomal synthesis of bioactive cyclic lipopeptides in Bacillus amyloliquefaciens strain FZB42. J. Bacteriol. 186: 1084–1096.
- May J.J., Wendrich T.M., Marahiel M.A. (2001) The dhb operon of Bacillus subtilis encodes the biosynthetic template for the catecholic siderophore 2,3-dihydroxybenzoate-glycine-threonine trimeric ester bacillibactin. J. Biol. Chem. 276: 7209–7217.
- Mootz H.D., Marahiel M.A. (1997) *The tyrocidine biosynthesis operon of Bacillus brevis: complete nucleotide sequence and biochemical characterization of functional internal adenylation domains.* J. Bacteriol. 179: 6843–6850.
- Nishikiori T., Naganawa H., Muraoka Y., Aoyagi T., Umezawa H. (1986a) *Plipastatins: new inhibitors of phospholipase* A2, produced by Bacillus cereus BMG302-fF67. III. Structural elucidation of plipastatins. J. Antibiot. 39: 755–761.
- Nishikiori T., Naganawa H., Muraoka Y., Aoyagi T., Umezawa H. (1986b) *The conformational studies on plipastatin A1* by 400 MHZ Proton Magnetic Resonance. J. Antibiot. 6: 860–863.
- Puopolo G., Pertot I., Engelen K., Moretto M., Sonego P., Molinatto G., Ongena M. (2016) *Direct submission*. CBC/Integrative Genomics, Fondazione Edmund Mach, Via Edmund Mach 1, San Michele All'Adige, Trento 38010, Italy.
- Pyoung I. K., Ryu. J., Kim. Y.H., Chi Y.T. (2010) Production of biosurfactant lipopeptides iturin A, fengycin and surfactin from Bacillus subtilis CMB32 for control of Colletotrichum gloespriodes. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 20(1): 138–145.
- Romero D., Vicente A., Rakotoaly R.H., Dufour S.E., Veening J.W., Arrebola E., Cazorla F.M., Kuipers O., Paquot M., Garcia A.P. (2007) *The iturin and fengycin families of lipopeptides are key factors in antagonism of Bacillus subtilis toward Podosphaera fusca*. Mol. Plant. Microbe. Interact. 118(2): 323–327.
- Schneider J., Taraza K., Budzikiewicza H., Deleu M., Thonart P., Jacques P. (1999) *The structure of two Fengycins from Bacillus subtilis S499.* Z. Naturforsch. 54c: 859–866.
- Stachelhaus T., Marahiel M.A. (1995) Modular structure of peptide synthetases revealed by dissection of the multifunctional enzyme GrsA. J. Biol. Chem. 270: 6163–6169
- Stachelhaus T., Hüser A., Marahiel M.A. (1996) Biochemical characterization of peptidyl carrier protein (PCP) the thiolation domain of multifunctional peptide synthetases. Chem. Biol. 3: 913–921.

- Stachelhaus T., Mootz H.D., Bergendahl V., Marahiel M.A. (1998) Peptide bond formation in nonribosomal peptide biosynthesis catalytic role of the condensation domain. J. Biol. Chem. 273: 22773–22781.
- Steller S., Vater J. (2000) Purification of the fengycin synthetase multienzyme system from Bacillus subtilis b213.J. Chromat. Biomed. Sci. Appl. 14: 267–275.
- Sun L., Zhaoxin Lu Z., Bie X., Lu F., Yang S. (2006) Isolation and characterization of a co-producer of fengycins and surfactins, endophytic Bacillus amyloliquefaciens ES-2, from Scutellaria baicalensis Georgi. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 22: 1259–1266.
- Tang X., Nakata Y., li H., Zhang M., Gao H., Fujita A., Sakatsume O., Ohta T., Yokoyama T. (1994) *The optimization* of preparations of competent cells for transformation of E. coli. Nucl. Acids Res. 22(14): 2857–2858. doi.org/10.1093/ nar/22.14.2857.
- Tosato V., Albertini A.M., Zotti M., Sonda S., Bruschi C.V. (1997) Sequence completion, identification and definition of the fengycin operon in Bacillus subtilis 168. Microbiol. 143: 3443–3450.
- Vanittanakom N., Loeffler W., Koch U., Jung G. (1986) Fengycin – a novel antifungal lipopeptide antibiotic produced by Bacillus subtilis F-29–3. J. Antibiot. 7: 888–901.
- Vater J., Kablitz B., Wilde C., Franke P., Mehta N., Cameotra S.S. (2002) Matrix asisted laser desorption ionization time of flight mass spectrometry of lipopeptide biosurfactants in whole cells and culture filtrates of Bacillus subtilis C-1 isolated from petroleum sludge. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 12: 6210–6219.
- Volpon L., Besson F., Lancelin J.M. (2000) NMR structure of antibiotics plipastatins A and B from Bacillus subtilis inhibitors of phospholipase A2. FEBS Lett. 485: 76–80.
- Umezawa H., Aoyagi T., Nishikiori T., Okuyama A., Yamagishimahamada Y., Takeuchi T. (1986) *Plipastatins: new inhibitors of phospholipase A2, produced by Bacillus cereus BMG302-Ff67. I. Taxonomy, production, isolation and preliminary characterization.* J. Antibiot. 39: 737–744.
- Wang J., Liu W.J., Wang X., Yao J., Yu Z. (2004) Application of electrospray ionization mass spectrometry in rapid typing of fengycin homologues produced by Bacillus subtilis. Lett. Appli. Microbiol. 39: 98–102.
- Williams B.H., Hathout Y., Fenselau C. (2002) Structural characterization of lipopeptide biomarkers isolated from Bacillus globoigii. J. Amer. Soc. Mass. Spectrom. 37: 259–264.
- Wu C.Y., Chen C.L., Lee Y.H., Cheng Y.C., Wu Y.C., Shu H.Y., Gotz F., Liu S.T. (2007) Nonribosomal synthesis of fengycin on an enzyme complex formed by fengycin synthetases. J. Biol. Chem. 282: 5608–5616.